

South Derbyshire District Council  
Statement of Community Involvement

Pre-submission consultation statement

September 2005

## **PRE-SUBMISSION CONSULTATION STATEMENT (REGULATION 28 STATEMENT)**

### **Consultation under Regulation 25**

Before preparing the Statement of Community Involvement we invited some 80 organisations representing a cross-section of those on our database to a workshop facilitated by consultants. We also contacted by letter or email the Regional Planning Body, the Highways Agency and all local planning authorities, County Councils and Parish Council's that are adjoining or cover the same area as the Local Planning Authority (Consultee I D references A1- A11, B1- B45, D8 & D11 and NB46 – NB70 listed in Appendix A) to gather their views on consultation in our area.

The main issues raised in this round of consultation were that we needed to be realistic about who we consult and at what stage in the process, involving people at a level that is appropriate for the document being discussed and the people involved. We have addressed these issues in the Statement of Community Involvement by recognising those concerns and identifying those we are likely to consult, when and how.

### **Consultation under Regulation 26**

We then prepared a draft Statement of Community Involvement, and carried out consultation on this draft for a six weeks period from 30<sup>th</sup> June to 11<sup>th</sup> August 2005.

- The document, including a statement of the proposals matters was available at the following locations:  
Alvaston Library, Blagreaves Library, Borrowash Library, Burton Library, Chellaston Post Office, Civic Offices, Derby Central Library, Etwall Library, Melbourne Library, Mickleover Library, Sinfin Library, Swadlincote Library, Willington Post Office, Woodville Library,
- The document and proposals matters were available on our website, with a statement explaining where and when paper copies of the document were available for inspection.
- We placed an advertisement in The Burton Mail and Derby Evening Telegraph that was carried on the 30<sup>th</sup> June 2005.
- We sent copies of the document along with the proposals matters and details of where the documents were available for inspection to all the bodies and individuals on our database (the list contained in Appendix A is a complete list of entries and includes those that were added as a result of the consultation exercise)
- Optional: We further publicised the availability of the draft Statement of Community Involvement in a press release.

We enclose a copy of the statement of proposals matters and the public advertisement in Appendix B

## **Considerations of representations received - Regulation 27**

We received representations on our draft Statement of Community Involvement from 59 organisations and individuals. The main issue resulting from the consultation was a concern about the number of acronyms used. We provide a summary of the issues raised and the way they were addressed in the Statement of Community Involvement in Appendix C. The representations related to a series of questions, a copy of which is also contained in Appendix C together with a copy of the covering report to Council of 22<sup>nd</sup> September 2005 the recommendations of which were agreed by Members at the meeting.

**South Derbyshire District Council**

**Statement of Community Involvement**

**Pre-submission consultation statement**

**APPENDIX A**

<b>Consultee ID</b>	<b>Consultee Name</b>	<b>Respons</b>
A1	Derbyshire County Council	Yes
A2	Leicestershire County Council	No
A3	Staffordshire County Council	No
A4	Derbyshire Dales District Council	No
A5	Amber Valley Borough Council	No
A6	East Staffordshire Borough Council	No
A8	Derby City Council	No
A9	Erewash Borough Council	No
A10	North West Leicestershire District Council	No
A11	Lichfield District Council	No
A13	Derbyshire Library Services	No
A14	Education Department	No
A15	Social Services Department	Yes
A16	Derbyshire Constabulary	No
A17	Derbyshire Fire & Rescue Service	No
B1	Aston on Trent Parish Council	No
B2	Barrow on Trent Parish Council	Yes
B3	Bretby Parish Council	No
B4	Burnaston Parish Council	No
B5	Castle Gresley Parish Council	No
B6	Church Broughton Parish Council	No
B7	Coton in the Elms Parish Council	No
B8	Egginton Parish Council	No
B9	Elvaston Parish Council	No
B10	Etwall Parish Council	No
B11	Findern Parish Council	No
B12	Foston and Scropton Parish Council	No
B13	Hartshorne Parish Council	No
B14	Hatton Parish Council	No
B15	Hilton Parish Council	No
B16	Linton Parish Council	No
B17	Melbourne Parish Council	Yes
LB18	Netherseal Parish Council	No
B19	Newton Solney Parish Council	Yes
B20	Overseal Parish Council	Yes
B21	Repton Parish Council	Yes
B22	Rosliston Parish Council	No
B23	Shardlow and Great Wilne Parish Council	No
B24	Smisby Parish Council	No
B25	Stenson Fields Parish Council	No
B26	Ticknall Parish Council	Yes
B27	Walton on Trent Parish Council	No

B28	Weston on Trent Parish Council	Yes
B29	Willington Parish Council	No
LB30	Woodville Parish Council	No
B31	Barton Blount Parish Meeting	No
B32	Calke Parish Meeting	No
B33	Cauldwell Parish Meeting	No
B34	Dalbury Lees Parish Council	No
B35	Foremarke Parish Meeting	No
B36	Hoon Parish Meeting	No
B37	Ingleby Parish Meeting	No
B38	Lullington Parish Meeting	No
B39	Marston on Dove Parish Meeting	No
B40	Osleston and Thurvaston Parish Meeting	No
B41	Radbourne Parish Meeting	No
B42	Stanton by Bridge Parish Meeting	No
B43	Sutton on the Hill Parish Meeting	No
B44	Trusley Parish Meeting	No
B45	Twyford and Stenson Parish Meeting	No
NB46	Kirk Langley Parish Council	No
NB47	Mackworth Parish Council	No
NB48	Alkington and Hungry Bentley Parish Council	No
NB49	Boyleston Parish Council	No
NB50	Hollington Parish Council	No
NB51	Longford Parish Council	No
NB52	Sudbury Parish Council	No
NB53	Winshill Parish Council	No
NB54	Brizlincote Parish Council	No
NB55	Stapenhill Parish Council	No
NB56	Branston Parish Council	No
NB57	Barton Parish Council	No
NB58	Dunstall Parish Council	No
NB59	Wychnor Parish Council	No
NB60	Ockbrook and Borrowash Parish Council	No
NB61	Sawley Parish Council	No
NB62	Edingale Parish Council	No
NB63	Clifton Campville Parish Council	No
NB64	Harlaston Parish Council	No
NB65	Oakthorpe, Donisthorpe and Acresford Parish Council	No
NB66	Ashby Woulds Town Council	No
NB67	Ashby de la Zouch Town Council	No
NB68	Breedon on the Hill Parish Council	No
NB69	Castle Donington Parish Council	Yes
NB70	Lockington and Hemington Parish Council	No

NB71	Derbyshire Association of Councils	No
C1	Todd, Mark MP	No
C2	Councillor A.M. Jones	No
C3	City Cllr. Ruth Skelton	No
C4	City Cllr. Bob Troup	No
C5	Councillor S. Taylor	No
C6	Councillor Horace Sankey	No
C43	Councillor R. Turner	No
C44	Parish Councillor N Ireland (Etwall)	No
C45	Parish Councillor D Parkinson (Etwall)	No
C46	Parish Councillor K Rushby (Etwall)	No
C47	Parish Councillor B Paynton (Etwall)	No
C48	Parish Councillor I Smith (Etwall)	No
C49	Parish Councillor J Hayes (Etwall)	No
C50	Parish Councillor T Adams (Etwall)	No
C51	Marie Hawkins (Member of Youth Parliament)	No
C52	Councillor Geoff Carlile	No
C53	Councillor Ron Lane	Yes
D1	Farming and Rural Conservation Agency	No
D2	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs	No
D3	Ministry of Defence (Chessington)	No
D4	Home Office	No
D7	ODPM c/o Government Office for the East Midlands	Yes
D8	The Highways Agency	Yes
D9	Employment Service	No
D10	Future Energy Solutions (FES)	No
D11	East Midlands Regional Assembly	No
D12	Sure Start	No
D13	Learning and Skills Council	No
D14	Department for Education and Skills	No
D15	Department for Transport	No
D16	Department for Health	No
D17	Department for Trade and Industry	No
D18	Department for Work and Pensions	No
D19	Department for Constitutional Affairs	No
D20	Department for Culture, Media and Sport	No
E1	The Crown Estate	No
E2	Commission for Racial Equality	No
E3	The Countryside Agency	No
E4	Strategic Rail Authority	No
E5	Sport England	Yes
E7	Southern Derbyshire Health Authority	No
E10	Severn Trent Water Ltd.	No

E11	South Staffordshire Water Plc.	No
E12	Environment Agency	Yes
E13	British Waterways (Central Shires Waterway)	Yes
E17	English Nature, Peak District & Derbyshire Team	No
E18	English Heritage	Yes
E20	Eon UK plc	No
E21	RWE npower / Innogy	No
E22	NGT Transco	No
E23	Central Networks Plc	No
E24	British Telecom	No
E26	Church Commissioners for England	No
E27	Heart of England Tourist Board	No
E28	Derbyshire Rural Community Council	No
E29	Royal Mail Services and Planning	No
E30	Network Rail - London North West	No
E31	Central Trains Ltd	No
E32	Civil Aviation Authority	No
E33	Nottingham East Midlands Airport	Yes
E35	National Forest Company	Yes
E37	Commission for Architecture and Built Environment	No
E38	National Trust	Yes
E39	The Landmark Trust	No
E45	The Forestry Commission	No
E47	Royal Mail Group	No
E48	Community Transport	No
E49	East Midlands Development Agency	Yes
E51	Arriva Midlands	No
E52	The Housing Corporation	No
E54	Network Analysis	No
E55	National Grid	Yes
E56	Severn Trent Water Ltd.	No
E57	Derby Diocesan Board of Finance	No
E61	Woodville Infants School	No
E62	Woodville Junior School	No
E63	Swadlincote Library	No
E64	John Port School	No
E65	The Woodland Trust & Ancient Tree Forum	Yes
E67	Governors of Chellaston Foundation Sch.	No
E68	Derbys Dales & South Derbys. Primary Care Trust	No
E69	H.M. Prison Service	Yes
E70	Derbyshire Mental Health Services NHS Trust	Yes
E71	East Staffordshire Primary Care Trust	No
E72	The Hollybrook Medical Centre	No

E73	Inspector Steve Fairbrother	No
E74	Npower Renewables	No
E75	Derbyshire Chamber and Business Link	No
E76	National Trust	No
E77	Marconi APT	No
E78	Hutchinson 3G (UK) Ltd.	No
E79	Vodafone UK Ltd.	No
E80	Cable and Wireless	No
E81	T Mobile	No
E82	O2 UK	No
E83	Orange Personal Communications Services Ltd.	No
E84	Derbyshire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust	No
E85	Burton Hospitals NHS Trust	No
E86	East Midlands Ambulance Service NHS Trust	No
E88	Health and Safety Executive	No
E89	Findern School	No
E90	Eileen Banton	No
E91	Derby and Derbyshire Economic Partnership	No
E92	The Derbyshire Network	No
E93	Equal Opportunities Commission	Yes
E94	Granville Community School	No
E95	The Pingle School	No
E96	William Allitt School	No
E97	English Partnerships Nottingham	No
E98	Burton Business Club	No
E99	Burton Technical College	No
E100	Burton upon Trent and District Chamber of Commerce	No
E101	Business Link Swadlincote	No
E102	Melbourne Business Association	No
E103	Aston on Trent Primary School	No
E104	Castle Gresley Infant School	No
E105	Church Broughton (CE Controlled) Primary School	No
E106	Church Gresley Infant School	No
E107	Coton in the Elms Primary School	No
E108	Egginton Primary School	No
E109	Etwall Primary School	No
E110	Eureka Primary School	No
E111	Fairmeadows Foundation Primary School	No
E113	Hilton Primary School	No
E114	Linton Primary School	No
E115	Melbourne Infant School	No
E116	Melbourne Junior School	No
E117	Newhall Community Junior School	No

E118	Newhall Infant School and Nursery	No
E119	Newton Solney (CE Aided) Infant School	No
E120	Overseal Primary School	No
E121	Pennine Way Junior School	No
E122	Repton Primary School	No
E123	Rosliston (CE controlled) Primary School	No
E124	Sale and Davys (CE Controlled) Primary School	No
E125	Shardlow Primary School	No
E126	Springfield Junior School	No
E127	St. Edwards Catholic Primary School	No
E128	St. George's CE (controlled) Primary School	No
E129	St. Peter's CE (controlled) Primary School	No
E130	Stanton Primary School	No
E131	Stenson Fields Primary School	No
E132	Weston on Trent CE (Aided) Primary School	No
E133	Willington Primary School	No
E134	Walton on Trent CE (controlled) Primary School	No
E135	Belmont Primary School	No
E136	Elmsleigh Infant School and Nursery	No
E137	Heath Fields Primary School	No
E138	Repton Preparatory School	No
E139	St. Wystans School	No
E140	National Probation Service Derbyshire	No
E141	University of Derby	No
E142	Aston on Trent Pre-School Play Group	No
E143	Bumpers Nursery	No
E144	Carlton Day Nursey	No
E145	Church Gresley Playgroup	No
E146	Coton in the Elms Pre-School	No
E147	Creative Days Nursery	No
E148	Four Seasons Private Nursery	No
E149	Little Jack Horners Day Nursery	No
E150	Little Tykes	No
E151	Melbourne Adult Community Education	No
E152	Long Lane CE (controlled) Primary School	No
E153	Swadlincote Chamber of Commerce	No
E154	Busy Bees Kindergarten	No
E155	Etwall Pre-School Group	No
E156	Hatton Centre	No
E157	Midway Pre-School Playgroup	No
E158	The Need to Know Centre	No
E159	Newhall Pre-School	No
E160	Overseal Pre-School	No

E161	Puddle Ducks Pre-School	No
E162	Repton Pre-School	No
E163	Rosliston Under 5s	No
E164	Sale and Davys Pre-School	No
E165	Smisby Day Nursery	No
E166	Sutton on the Hill Pre-School	No
E167	Swadlincote Learning Centre	No
E168	Swadlincote Pre-School	No
E169	Ticknall Under 5's Playgroup	No
E170	Weston Under Five's Group	No
E171	Wilington Pre-School	No
E172	The Woodend Private Day Nursery	No
E173	Woodville Methodist Church Pre-School	No
E174	Woodville Youth Centre	No
E175	Connexions	No
F1	Civic Trust	No
F2	Society for the Protection of Ancient Buildings	No
F3	The Georgian Group	No
F4	Victorian Society	No
F5	Ancient Monument Society	No
F6	Country Landowners' Association	No
F7	Derbyshire Wildlife Trust	No
F8	National Farmers Union	Yes
F9	Local Disability Focus Group	No
F10	Council for the Protection of Rural England	Yes
F11	Bridleways and Byways Group	No
F12	Sustrans	No
F13	The Ramblers' Association	No
F14	The House Builders' Federation	Yes
F17	Burton on Trent Chamber of Commerce and Industry	No
F18	Burton Enterprise Support	No
F20	Derbyshire Historic Buildings Trust	No
F21	Kings Newton Residents Association	No
F22	Melbourne Civic Society	Yes
F23	Ticknall Preservation and Historical Society	No
F25	Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	No
F26	Midlands Rural Housing	No
F28	The British Horse Society	No
F29	Newton Park Residents Association	No
F30	National Playing Fields Association	Yes
F34	South Derbyshire Road Runners AC	No
F36	Railway Development Society	No
F37	Derby Cycling Group	No

F38	Shardlow Heritage Trust	No
F39	Derbyshire Coalition for Inclusive Living	No
F40	Sinfin Moor Action Campaign Committee	No
F43	Save Aston Village Environment	Yes
F45	Trent and Mersey Canal Society	No
F46	Egginton Society	No
F48	Derbyshire Ladies County Golf Association	No
F50	South Derbyshire Ladies C.G.B.A.	No
F52	Pastures Golf Club	No
F53	English Golf Union	No
F54	The Derbyshire Union of Golf Clubs	No
F62	South Derbyshire Council for Voluntary Services	No
F63	South Derbyshire Branch-Derbyshire Wildlife Trust	No
F64	Motoring Organisations' LARA	No
F66	The Coal Authority	No
F67	Derby and Sandiacre Canal Trust	No
F69	Cyclists Touring Club (Derby District)	No
F70	Derbyshire Transport 2000	No
F71	Compassion in World Farming	No
F72	Lincote Disabled Group	No
F73	Leonard Cheshire	No
F74	Woodville Methodist Church Playgroup	No
F75	The Rev'd Paul Bysouth	No
F76	Citizens Advice Bureau	No
F77	Council for British Archaeology	No
F78	Church of England (Diocese of Derby)	No
F79	Swadlincote Shop Mobility	No
F80	Derbyshire Agricultural and Horticultural Society	No
F81	Hatton Action Group	No
F82	Diocese of Derby	No
F83	Outdoor Advertising Association	No
F84	Friends of the Earth	No
F87	Walton upon Trent Residents Association	No
F88	Post Office Ltd	No
F89	Central Rivers Initiative	No
F90	Derwent Valley Meeting Room Trust	No
F91	Melbourne Village Voice	No
F94	Shardlow Road Alvaston Allotment Association	No
F95	The British Wind Energy Association	No
F96	Campaign for Real Ale Ltd.	No
F97	Help the Aged	No
F98	Age Concern Derby and Derbyshire	No
F99	Rosliston Forestry Centre	No

F100	People Express	No
F101	Southern Derbyshire Advocacy Service	No
F102	West Street Community Partnership	No
F103	Parenting Partnership	No
F104	East Midlands Black and Minorities Voluntary Sector	No
F105	Churches Together in Swadlincote and District	No
F106	Derbyshire Community Foundation	No
F107	Asian Over 60's Social and Welfare Club	No
F108	Derbyshire Sport	No
F109	VOICE - East Midlands	No
F110	Shardlow Conservation Group	No
F111	Made in Swadlincote Partnership	No
F112	Youth Information Shop	No
F113	Derbyshire Association for the Blind	Yes
F114	The Old Post Regeneration Association	No
F115	Derby Racial Equality Council	No
F116	Coalfields Regeneration Trust	No
F117	Derbyshire Association of Local Councils	No
F118	Action with Communities in Rural England (ACRE)	No
F119	Big Lottery Fund	No
F120	Derbyshire Carers Association	No
F121	Derbyshire Housing Aid	No
F122	Derbyshire Alternative Technology Association	No
F123	Development Trusts Association	No
F125	East Midlands Churches Forum	No
F126	Engage East Midlands	No
F127	Chrysanthemum Court Foyer	No
F128	National Association Care and Resettlement of	No
F129	National Council for Voluntary Organisations	No
F130	One Plus	No
F131	Refugee Access Project	No
F132	Refugee Action	No
F133	Social Enterprise Coalition	No
F134	United Reform Church	No
F135	Tenant Participation Advisory Service Ltd.	No
F136	British Chemical Distribution and Traders Association	No
F137	British Geological Survey	No
F138	Centre for Ecology and Hydrology	No
F139	Citizens Advice Bureau	No
F140	Institute of Directors East Midlands	No
F141	Disability Rights Commission	No
F142	Disabled Persons Transport Advisory Committee	No
F143	Freight Transport Association Midlands Region	No

F144	The Gypsy Council	No
F145	East Midlands Regional Housing Board	No
F146	Road Haulage Association	No
F147	Gypsy and Traveller Law Reform Coalition	No
F148	The Theatres Trust	Yes
F149	The Twentieth Century Society	No
F150	The Garden History Society	No
G2	Bellway Homes Ltd.	No
G7	William Davis Ltd.	No
G10	Redrow Homes (Midlands) Ltd.	No
G12	Howard Sharp and Partners	No
G13	Whitbread Plc.,	No
G20	David Wilson Estates Ltd.	No
G21	David Wilson Homes (North Midlands)	No
G81	Gradefind Ltd.	No
G105	Baltic Property Finance plc	No
G118	Blue Circle Properties	No
G136	E.A. Chamberlain and Central Land Holdings	No
G161	Gresley Investments	No
G162	Hamlet Housing	No
G163	Charterhouse Land Limited	No
G165	Madecorn Leisure	Yes
G166	Landmark	No
G168	ACENT	No
G169	FPD Savills	No
G171	JS Bloor (Measham) Limited	Yes
G172	John Bowler Agriculture Ltd.	No
G173	Timms Solicitors	No
G175	Walker Morris Solicitors	No
G176	RSK Environment Ltd.	No
G178	Allen Homes (E.M.) Ltd.	No
G181	Development Land and Planning Consultants	No
G182	Hallam Land Management	No
G183	GVA Grimley	No
G184	Development Planning Partnership	No
G186	Sigma Planning Services	Yes
G187	St. Modwen Developments Ltd.	No
G188	H.J. Banks and Co. Ltd.	No
G189	Colin Buchanan and Partners	No
G190	Malcolm Judd and Partners	No
G191	Dyke, M.	No
G193	Bryant Homes Ltd.	No
G194	Jones Lang Lasalle	No

G195	George Wimpey U.K. Ltd.	No
G196	Holmes Antill	No
G197	Squirrel Developments Ltd.	No
G199	Matthew Montague Architect	No
G200	Paul Butler Associates	No
G201	The Geddes Walker Partnership	No
G202	Peacock and Smith	No
G203	Bagshaws	No
G204	RPS Group	No
G205	E.C. Rippon Ltd.	No
G206	Charterhouse Investments	No
G207	Browne Jacobson Solicitors	No
G208	David Locke Associates	No
G209	Wood Frampton	No
G210	James Barr Chartered Surveyors	No
G211	Christopher Timothy	No
G212	Solsbury Developments	No
G214	Roger Tym and Partners	No
G215	Wilcon Homes	No
G216	RMC (UK) Ltd.	No
G217	Roy Williams and Co.	No
G218	Moseley Group plc.	No
G219	Peter Diffey and Associates	No
G220	Barton Willmore Planning	No
G221	Hilton Industrial Estate Ltd.	No
G222	Bryant Technical Services Ltd.	No
G223	The Chris Thorp Planning Practice	No
G224	McCarthy and Stone (Dev.) Ltd.	No
G225	Marwalk Developments Ltd.	No
G226	Gainsborough Property	No
G227	First City	No
G228	Scott, Wilson, Kirkpatrick	No
G229	ADAS	No
G230	James Bailey Planning	No
G231	W.S. Atkins Transportation Engineering	No
G233	Stoneleigh Planning Partnership	No
G234	Weatherall, Green and Smith	No
G235	King Sturge	No
G236	Philip Billham Planning and Design	No
G237	Andrew Thomas Planning	No
G238	Land and Development Consultants	Yes
G239	Housden Builders	No
G240	Westbury Homes	No

G242	Blore Hall Ltd.	No
G243	David Wilson Estates, Miller Homes, Taylor Woodrow	Yes
G245	Halletec Associates	No
G246	Derby Self-Build Housing Society Ltd.	Yes
G247	Burnaston Cross Ltd.	No
G249	Barton Willmore Planning Partnership	No
G250	Westbury Homes (Holdings) Ltd.	No
G251	Philip Hill and Company	No
G252	Orange P.C.S. Ltd.	No
G253	Countany Ltd.	No
G255	Shoosmiths Solicitors	No
G257	Montague Evans	No
G258	Miller Homes East Midlands	No
G259	Hodson, Janet V.	No
G260	Trenport Investments Limited	Yes
G261	Malcolm Scott Consultants Ltd.	No
G262	FPD Savills	No
G263	Raybould and Sons	No
G264	First City Ltd.	No
G266	Conack Homes (Midlands) Ltd.	No
G267	RPS Group plc	No
G268	Chesterton plc	No
G269	Persimmon Homes North Midlands	No
G270	St. Modwen Developments Ltd.	No
G271	Haston Reynolds Partnership	No
G272	Gough Planning Services	No
G273	D.S.G. Tamworth	No
G274	Property Search Group	No
G275	Landmark Info Group Ltd.	No
G276	Henry Boot plc	No
G278	B.K.	No
G277	DPDS	No
G279	Tetlow King Planning Ltd.	No
G280	Hardys and Hansons plc	No
G281	Chestertons	No
G282	Trenport Investments Ltd.	No
G283	Central Land Holdings Ltd.	No
G284	DTZ Pbeda Consulting	No
G285	Level Consulting Ltd	No
G287	FPD Savills	Yes
G288	Fallowell and Partners	No
G289	Bryant Homes	No
G290	G. and H. Associates	No

G291	Smiths Gore	No
G292	ARUP Economic and Planning	No
G293	Jeffrey Jones Partnership	No
G294	Bellway Homes - Planning & Development Division	No
G295	Rollits Solicitors	No
G296	Hanbury Design	No
G297	Branston Property Partnership	No
G298	Mitchell Industries Ltd.	No
G299	Pilot Fields Estates Ltd.	No
G300	The Mill Group	No
G301	Trenport Investments Ltd.	No
G302	Madecorn Leisure	No
G303	BT Group plc.	No
G304	Honoramead Schools Ltd.	No
G305	Samphire Properties Ltd.	No
G306	George Wimpey UK Ltd. & Repton School	No
G307	W.M. Morrison Supermarkets plc.	Yes
G308	Morris Homes Ltd.	No
G309	Tapton Estates Ltd.	No
G310	Alexander Bruce Surveyors	No
G311	King Sturge	No
G312	Harris Lamb Chartered Surveyors	No
G313	Montague Architects Ltd.	No
G314	Beech Tree Developments	No
G316	TEG Environmental Plc	No
G317	Planning Issues	No
G318	Orange Personal Communications Services Limited	No
G319	Radleigh Homes	Yes
G320	Lovell Johns	No
G321	SSR	No
G322	Brimble, Lea and Partners	No
G323	John Orgill	No
G324	Talbot Associates	No
G325	Derek Latham and Associates	No
G326	Structural Design Associates	No
G327	Hardwick, Coleman and Whatton Ltd.	No
G328	John German	No
G329	Steedman Planning and Land	No
G331	John Church Planning and Development Consultants	No
G332	Duvall Brownhill Partnership	No
G333	Gino Lombardo & Associates	No
G334	Spawforth Associates	No
G335	Jones Day	No

G336	The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership - Midlands	No
G337	DTZ Piedad Consulting	No
G338	Stansgate Planning Consultants	No
G339	Barton Willmore Planning	No
G340	Dialogue	No
G341	Peveril Homes	No
G342	Walton and Co.	No
G343	Andrew Thompson	No
G344	Northcountry Homes Group Ltd.	No
G346	Trident Housing Association	No
G347	Marston-on-Dove Estates Ltd.	No
G348	Fallowell and Partners	No
G349	Pegasus Planning Group	No
G350	Gary Bannon and Associates	No
G351	Wood Frampton	Yes
H2	Hepworth Building Products Ltd.,	No
H4	Hanson Quarry Products Ltd.	Yes
H12	Don Amott Caravans Ltd	No
H18	Dyson Industries Ltd.	No
H24	Nestle UK Ltd.,	No
H28	Conder Developments	No
H32	SafePharm Laboratories Ltd.,	No
H34	Boots the Chemist	No
H36	Dove Valley Park Ltd.,	No
H37	Sharpe Bros. & Co. Ltd.,	Yes
H38	David Cliff Ltd.,	No
H40	Ross McManus Associates (Agricultural Consultants)	No
H41	Y-Pas Garages Ltd.	No
H42	UK Coal Ltd	No
H43	Entec UK Ltd.	No
H44	Sainsbury's Supermarkets Ltd.	No
H45	J. Lomas and Partners	No
H46	Hansen Aggregates	No
H47	Cooper, P.	Yes
H48	Messrs. Ensor	No
H49	Pubmaster Ltd.	No
H50	Ensor Holdings plc.	No
H51	Tarmac plc.	No
H52	Armitage Shanks Ltd.	No
H53	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	Yes
H54	Somerfields	No
H55	O'Brian Property	No
H56	R. Massey and Sons	No

H57	Wesmid Engineering Co. Ltd.	No
H58	Ward and Brewin	No
H59	Jeffrey's Vehicle Services Ltd.	No
H60	UK Coal Mining Ltd.	No
H61	Lafarge Aggregates Ltd. (Central Service Centre)	Yes
H62	D.C. Contracts Ltd.	No
H64	Lafarge Aggregates Ltd. (Northern Service Centre)	No
H65	End of the Line Eco Skips	No
H66	The H.K. Wentworth Group	No
H67	JD Wetherspoon	No
H68	Peter Black Healthcare Ltd.	No
H69	Arrow Chemicals Ltd.	No
H70	Techsol Ltd.	No
H71	Woodville Polymer Engineering Ltd.	No
H72	T G Green Pottery	No
H73	Scomark Engineering Ltd.	No
H74	Montracon Ltd.	No
H75	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	Yes
H77	Toyota Motor Manufacturing (UK) Ltd.	No
H78	Hays Specialist Distribution	No
H79	Extec Screens and Crushers Ltd.	No
H80	Zytek Engineering Ltd.	No
H81	Derbyshire Building Society	No
H82	Woolworth plc	No
H83	Henderson Global Investors	Yes
K20	Melbourne Junior School Governors	No
K21	Melbourne Heritage Trust	No
K22	The Melbourne Trust	No
K23	Lady Lothians Settlement	No
K25	Melbourne & District Labour Party	No
K26	Trustees of Melbourne Estate	No
J1	Davoll, Mr. R. A.	Yes
J62	Newton, Prof. E. W.	No
J96	Goodwin, Mr. H.	No
J98	Trustees of the Trusley Settlement	No
J100	Harpur Crewe Estate	No
J103	Parnell Esq, Mr. T.	No
J109	Wakefield, Mr, & Mrs. D. J.	No
J151	Bird & Son, J.	No
J196	Strand, R. J.	No
J206	Richardson, Mr. P. J.	No
J211	Fisher, Mr. J.	No
J216	Atwal & Sons (Redrow Homes), M. S.	No

J219	Shuttlewood Family	No
J231	Foster, Mrs. B.	No
J246	Gibbs, Mr. & Mrs. L.	No
J267	Webster, M.A. & D.E.	No
J268	Hickie, S. & C.	No
J269	Waters, P.	No
J283	Hodson-Walker, R.	No
J292	Walker, Mrs. J. R.	No
J294	Smeaton, Mrs. A.	No
J318	Jones, B.	No
J319	Jones, Mr. M.	No
J326	Roberts, Mr. G. A.	No
J335	Clare, Norman	No
J338	Marriott, P. T. & L. M.	No
J362	Buxton, Mr. A. J.	No
J365	Cook, Mr. I. J.	No
J416	The Derbyshire Gypsy Liaison Group	No
J419	Smith, Mr. D.	No
J430	Woodisse, Mrs. H. Giles & Mr. R.	No
J445	Mitchell, Mr. F.	No
J446	Rodgers, P.	No
J452	Wren, Mr John	No
J453	Worrall, Mrs V.	No
J454	Perry, Mr G.J.	No
J455	Shemilt, Philip	No
J457	Gates, Mr M Boswell & Mr A	No
J461	Goodchild, Mr and Mrs M	No
J462	Salsbury, Mr J.	No
J464	Houghton, Mr J	No
J466	Parsons, Mr. Colin & Bailey, Mr. Joe	No
J468	Boissier, Mr. R.	No
J471	Jarvis, Mr N.C. and Harrison, Mrs. L.	No
J473	Taylor, Mr. Alan J.	No
J475	Wasley, Mr. R.	No
J477	Lowe, Mr. and Mrs.	No
J478	Roe, Mr. C.	No
J486	Longstaff, Mr. R.	No
J491	Hiatt, Mr. H.	No
J496	Page, Susan	No
J497	Harrison, Trustees of J.M. and E.L.	No
J514	Newborough, Mr.	No
J515	Borley and Son, Mr. K.	No
J517	Walmsley, Mr R.	No

J520	Critchlow, Mr. Fred A.	No
J521	Renwick, Beryl	No
J526	Wrathall, Mr. T.B.	No
J530	Smith, Mr. W. G.	No
J532	Jackson, Andrew	No
J539	Christie, Mr. A.M.	No
J556	Hicklin, Mr. J.E.	No
J560	Jefferson, Mr. and Mrs.	No
J561	Yates, Mr. P. J.	No
J562	Jenkinson, Mr. D.E.	No
J569	Newman, Mrs. J.	No
J573	Brown, Mr. K.M.	No
J578	Coupland, Steve	No
J581	Chambers, Mr. And Mrs. M.	No
J582	Clarke, Kevin	No
J583	Dakin, Linda	No
J585	Bayley, Mr I.J.	No
J588	Chadburn, Frederick	No
J589	Wood, Mr. R.	No
J592	Haywood, Mrs. Judy	No
J605	Catwell, Mrs. Ann	No
J617	Ricketts, Mr. And Mrs. P.J.	No
J618	Brealey, Mrs. C.	No
J621	Stringer, Mrs. Dianne	No
J628	Pallett, C.D.	No
J630	Jennings, Mr. G.T.R.	No
J631	Cope, Miss. Dorothy	No
J632	Wainwright, Harold & Betty	Yes
J637	Toplis, Mrs. R.C.	No
J640	Clarke, Mr. & Mrs.	No
J645	Poultney, Mr. J.W.	No
J646	Whittall, Mr. & Mrs. I.T.	No
J647	Wilmot, Mr. C.	No
J660	Stevens, Mr. J.	No
J661	Bailey, S.E.F.	No
J670	Taft, Mr. R.J.	No
J676	Throw, Erica Una	No
J697	Mead, J.	No
J698	Mead, C.	No
J707	Jepson, E.	No
J712	Preston, D.J.	No
J718	Lindley, L.W.	No
J719	Lindley, L.L.	No

J740	Gifford, A.F.	No
J747	Orton, Mr. T.F.	No
J748	Allsopp, Mr. P.	No
J749	Albutt, Mr. R.J.	No
J750	Albutt, Mrs. M.A.	No
J764	Webster, David	Yes
J771	Wain, Barbara	No
J773	Armson, Mr G S	No
J776	French, A.G.	No
J779	Shaw, S	No
J780	Coxon, Michael T	No
J782	Braisby, Tracy	No
J787	Dagley, P.T.	No
J793	Smith, Mr. & Mrs.	No
J799	Fuller, Mrs L	No
J801	McEvansoneya, Mrs. A.	No
J802	McEvansoneya, Mr. G.	No
J804	Hibbert, Mrs. S.	No
J816	Gibson, Mr. & Mrs.	No
J818	Stevenson, M.	No
J820	Holmes, M.J.	No
J840	Carrington, John and Wendy	No
J841	Dewis, Mr & Mrs M	No
J845	O'Rourke, Mr. A.	No
J846	Barnada, Mr. & Mrs. J.	No
J860	Davidson, Mrs M	No
J866	Garrington, Mr P J & Mrs E M	No
J868	Mellor, Gordon & Dawn	No
J870	Whittingham, Andrew	No
J874	Townsend, Mrs P	No
J877	Carter, Mr. And Mrs.	No
J878	Roper, Mr W	No
J888	Shore, Mr. P.	No
J895	Ferdinando, Arthur	No
J903	Woodcock, Mr. M.W. & Mrs. C.	No
J906	Savage, G.W.	No
J907	Savage, S.M.	No
J910	Murphy, Caroline	No
J911	Keal, Andrew	No
J912	Kinsey, M.	No
J916	Woodisse, Mr. R. E.	No
J917	Frawley, Mr S.	No
J919	Atkinson, Mr. & Mrs. J.F. & E.A.	No

J920	Walmsley, Honormead Schools Ltd. & Mr R.	No
J921	Hall, Mr F.C.	No
J922	Joynes, Dr. H.W.	No
J923	Swift, Mr. R.	No
LJ929	Lipscombe, Russell	No
J941	Skinner, Mr	No
J944	Mo, Mr. M.	No
J945	Singh, Darren and Sandra	No
J955	Hibbert, D.J.	No
J977	Crocker, Mr. Keth	No
J980	McClymont, Mrs.	No
J986	Holmes, Ada E.	No
J987	Hudson, Monica	Yes
J988	Mr. J.A. Curley	No
J989	Mr David Parker	No
J990	Michelle Kerry	No
J991	Mrs. Jenny Ford	No
J992	Alan Gilbert	No
J993	Rev Vernon J Cuthbert	No
J994	Dean McBride	No
J995	Alan F. Stafford	No
J996	Kizia Woodhall	No
J997	Kenneth John Atkins	No
J998	Trevor Dorrington	No
J999	Mel Housden	No
J1000	Neil Gulliver	No
J1001	Terry, Diane, Laura, Claire and Hayley Statham	No
J1002	Mr and Mrs G J Luck	No
J1003	Mr and Mrs RJ & SA Hull	Yes
J1004	Robert Brooks	No
J1005	Andrew Woolley	No
J1006	Mr Beedie	No
J1007	Edward Hill	No
J1008	Jean and Tony Bradshaw	No
J1009	David Bowman	No
J1010	David Goacher	No
J1011	Eric Newbold	No
J1012	Alex Gillington	No
J1013	Mrs P R Smythe	No
J1014	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Yes
J1015	Rev. Philip Webb	No
J1016	Mrs Dunn	No
M1	South Derbyshire Local Strategic Partnership	Yes

M2	Youth Engagement Through Sport Officer	No
M3	Housing Services Manager	No
M4	Facilities and Development Manager	No
M5	Community Development Officer	No
M6	Development Control Manager	No
M7	Public Relations Manager	No
M8	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	Yes
M9	Direct Services Manager	No
M10	Head of Policy and Economic Regeneration	No
M11	Head of Environmental Health Services	No

**South Derbyshire District Council**  
**Statement of Community Involvement**

**Pre-submission consultation statement**

**APPENDIX B**

**Proposals Matters: Statement of Community Involvement.**

South Derbyshire District Council has prepared a 'Statement of Community Involvement' that when adopted will set out how and when the Council will undertake consultation on the formulation of planning policy documents forming part of the Local Development Framework and the determination of planning applications.

**Statement as to where the document can be inspected**

Copies of the document are available for inspection at the following locations:

- **Alvaston Library**, London Road, Alvaston, Monday and Tuesday 9.30 a.m. to 7 p.m. Thursday 9.30 a.m. to 8 p.m. Friday 9.30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Saturday 9.30 a.m. to 4 p.m.
- **Blagreaves Library**, Blagreaves Lane, Littleover, Monday, Thursday and Friday 9.30 a.m. to 7.00 p.m. Tuesday 9.30 a.m. to 5.00 p.m. Saturday 9.30 a.m. to 4.00 p.m.
- **Borrowash Library**, Victoria Avenue, Borrowash, Monday, Wednesday and Friday 9.30 a.m. to 7 p.m. Saturday 9.30 a.m. to 1 p.m.
- **Burton Library**, Riverside, High Street, Burton, Monday, Wednesday and Friday 8.30 a.m. to 6 p.m. Tuesday 10 a.m. to 6 p.m. Thursday 8.30 a.m. to 8 p.m. Saturday 8.30 a.m. to 4.30 p.m.
- **Chellaston Post Office**, 5 Derby Road, Chellaston, Monday to Friday 8.30 a.m. to 5.30 p.m. Saturday 8.30 a.m. to 1.00 p.m.
- **Civic Offices**, Planning Reception, Civic Way, Swadlincote Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 8.45 a.m. to 5 p.m. Wednesday 9.30 a.m. to 5 p.m. and Friday 8.45 a.m. to 4.30 p.m.
- **Derby Central Library**, Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday 9.30 a.m. to 7 p.m. Wednesday 9.30 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. Saturday 9.30 a.m. to 4 p.m.
- **Etwall Library**, Main Street Etwall, Monday and Thursday 2 p.m. to 7.00 p.m. Wednesday 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. 2 p.m. to 5 p.m.
- **Melbourne Library**, Leisure Centre, High Street, Melbourne, Monday 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Wednesday 2 p.m. to 5.30 p.m. [Closed Wed 6<sup>th</sup> July 2005], Friday 10 a.m. to 1 p.m., 2 p.m. to 7 p.m.
- **Mickleover Library**, Station Road, Mickleover, Monday and Friday 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. and 2.00 p.m. to 5.00 p.m. Tuesday and Thursday 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. and 2 p.m. to 7 p.m. Saturday 10 a.m. to 4 p.m.
- **Sinfin Library**, Arleston Lane, Sinfin, Monday, Tuesday and Friday 9.30 a.m. to 5.30 p.m. Thursday 9.30 a.m. to 7 p.m. Saturday 9.30 a.m. to 4 p.m.
- **Swadlincote Library**, Civic Way, Swadlincote, Monday, Tuesday and Thursday 9 a.m. to 7.00 p.m. Wednesday 9.00 a.m. to 1 p.m. Friday 9 a.m. to 5.30 p.m. Saturday 9.00 a.m. to 4 p.m.
- **Willington Post Office**, 1 Castle Way, Willington, Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday 9.00 a.m. to 5.30 p.m., Wednesday and Saturday 9.00 a.m. to 12.30p.m.
- **Woodville Library**, 1 Hartshorne Road, Woodville Monday and Friday only 2 p.m. to 5 p.m. and 5.30 p.m. to 7 p.m.

The document is also available on the Council's website [www.south-derbys.gov.uk](http://www.south-derbys.gov.uk)

The document contains a series of questions to which the Council seeks responses and completed questionnaires and any other comments and suggestions regarding the consultation document must be received **by 5pm on Thursday 11<sup>th</sup> August 2005** at the following address: Planning Policy Team, Planning Service, South Derbyshire District Council, Civic Offices, Civic Way, Swadlincote, DE11 0AH.

**South Derbyshire District Council**

**Statement of Community Involvement**

**Pre-submission consultation statement**

**APPENDIX C**

---

---

<b>REPORT TO:</b>	<b>Full Council</b>	<b>AGENDA ITEM:</b>
<b>DATE OF MEETING:</b>	<b>22<sup>nd</sup> September 2005</b>	<b>CATEGORY: DELEGATED</b>
<b>REPORT FROM:</b>	<b>Deputy Chief Executive</b>	<b>OPEN</b>
<b>MEMBERS' CONTACT POINT:</b>	<b>Gill Hague 595742</b>	<b>DOC:</b>
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	<b>Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)</b>	<b>REF:</b>
<b>WARD(S) AFFECTED:</b>	<b>All</b>	<b>TERMS OF REFERENCE: EDS03</b>

---

---

## **1.0 Recommendations**

That Members:

- 1.1 welcome the representations received during the recent consultation on the Statement of Community Involvement and agree the officer comments and changes as set out in Appendix A (to follow);
- 1.2 agree that late responses to the next round of consultation will not be accepted; and
- 1.3 agree to extend the contract with the existing consultants to include research on behalf of the Council into public speaking at Development Control Committee and preparation of a best practice document to guide the introduction of such a scheme.

## **2.0 Purpose of Report**

- 2.1 To inform Members of the representations received during formal consultation on the Pre-Submission Participation version of the Statement of Community Involvement and seek their agreement to changes that will be included in the Submission version of the document that will undergo a further formal six weeks consultation and be submitted to the Secretary of State.
- 2.2 Members are also asked to agree that late responses to the next round of consultation on the document are not accepted. The reason for this is that the Planning Inspectorate requires a very short turn around time

from the Council with regard to its response to the representations received during the next consultation period.

- 2.3 The report also seeks agreement from Members to extend the contract with the consultants undertaking work on the Statement of Community Involvement and Corporate Communication and Consultation Strategy to prepare a piece of work that would inform the Council with regard to permitting members of the public to speak at Development Control Committee. Whilst not raised during the consultation period, the matter was raised with Members as part of the report on the outcomes of the workshop that informed the preparation of the Statement of Community Involvement. (Minute EDS/7 refers).

### **3.0 Detail**

- 3.1 Formal consultation on the Statement of Community Involvement took place during a six weeks period commencing 30<sup>th</sup> June. Some 58 individuals, groups, organisations and companies responded. The consultation was structured by means of specific questions relating to the different sections of the document although general comments could also be made.
- 3.2 Whilst there was an element of cynicism from some respondents, on the whole the responses were mainly positive. Many were comments that would relate to the preparation of future Local Development Documents and therefore did not require changes to the Statement of Community Involvement itself.
- 3.3 A recurring comment was that the document contained too many acronyms and official jargon. Whilst we have attempted to keep the document as simple and easy to understand as possible, we have to ensure that the wording conforms to statutory regulations if we are to avoid legal challenge. A lesson learnt though is that for other new style documents a non-technical summary of the key points should be provided on a loose sheet of A4.
- 3.4 Various periods were put forward for reviewing the document varying from 6months to 5 years. However, given that a review will have to undergo formal consultation it is likely that anything less than 2 years would be unrealistic, as insufficient time would have passed to properly monitor the methods used. The Government expects the need to review any of the new style documents to first be indicated in the Annual Monitoring Report. The dates for review would also have to be identified in the Local Development Scheme which at present runs up to September 2007 and has only recently been agreed with the Secretary of State.
- 3.5 With regard to the appearance of the document, given that any change, however small, has to go through a formal process, it would be expedient not to include in the final document the full list of consultees so that

formal consultation would not have to be undertaken when an additional body or organisation is added to the list.

#### **4.0 Financial Implications**

- 4.1 The cost of the consultants working up the draft SCI was covered by the approved implementation plan for the spending of the 2004/2005 Planning Delivery Grant. Further detailed financial information about consultation exercises relating to the milestones set out in the Local Development Scheme was contained in the report of Environmental and Development Services Committee on 2<sup>nd</sup> June 2005.
- 4.2 Additional work regarding public speaking at Development Control Committee will cost in the region of £6,000 and can be covered by existing budgets.

#### **5.0 Corporate Implications**

- 5.1 Work undertaken by the consultants to date and some of the responses will prove useful to the review of the Corporate Communication and Consultation Strategy.

#### **6.0 Community Implications**

- 6.1 The purpose of the Statement of Community Involvement is to inform members of the community as to how and when they will be consulted on planning issues. A separate report will provide best practice for other departments undertaking consultation, with a view to avoiding consultation overload.
- 6.2 The additional work investigating public speaking at Development Control Committee will aid the public's awareness of the development control process and provide an opportunity for views to be expressed.

#### **7.0 Conclusions**

- 7.1 Care needs to be taken to ensure that sceptics are proven wrong and that the consultation process on planning policy formulation and the determination of planning applications is enhanced in a cost effective manner that is easily understood.

#### **8.0 Background Papers**

- 8.1 None other than those referred to in this report.

The questions to which the answers given on the following pages relate.

- Vision and Priorities            What do you think about our vision for community involvement? What do you think our priorities should be?
- Q1    Are there any other initiatives or strategies that the process of developing the LDF should be directly linked to?
- Q2    Who do you consider to be our 'hard to reach' groups? Do you have any ideas as to how we can make the process more accessible for these groups?
- Q3    Do you agree with the types of community group listed? Do you agree with the statements made about their interests and ability to get involved?
- Q4    Do you agree with these principles? Are there any that we have missed?
- Q5    Are you aware of any other methods that may be appropriate for involving the community in the planning process?
- Q6    Do you have any ideas as to how we can make the process more accessible and involve more people?
- Q7    What are your views on this table of proposed approaches? Do you think this is appropriate yet realistic?
- Q8    What are your views on our proposed approach to involving people in planning applications? Do you think this is appropriate yet realistic?
- Q9    What are your views on the roles and responsibilities outlined above? Do any other groups have a role to play?
- Q10   What would be an appropriate yet realistic timeframe for reviewing and updating this document? How should community involvement be evaluated?
- Q11   Are you aware of any other information that should be sign-posted in the SCI? Would you like to see any other information or links presented here?
- General            Do you have any other comments to make about this draft SCI?

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Vision and Priorities	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	Support the idea of the system becoming faster and more responsive to local opportunities. Awareness raising exercises about aims/what you are trying to achieve must involve the community e.g. posters, leaflets, local newspaper articles etc.	Noted
Vision and Priorities	Barrow upon Trent Parish Council	Difficult to read and understand. Vision lacks depth and meaning.	Others disagree which illustrates how difficult it is to strike the right balance. The vision is in plain English and is to the point.
Vision and Priorities	Melbourne Parish Council	Vision should stimulate others besides SDDC and should explain how other opinions will be considered. Everyone should be involved in the planning process in the build up to decision making. This has often be ignored in past practice.	The vision includes the word 'everyone'. The whole purpose of the document is to set out how we will engage others in the
Vision and Priorities	Repton Parish Council	Make full use of the parish councils as the representatives of / contacts with local people.	We already do this but some Parish Council's take more interest than others..
Vision and Priorities	Weston on Trent Parish Council	It is important for local communities to be able to have an input into decisions that will affect them.	Noted
Vision and Priorities	Councillor Ron Lane	Not sure the caveat re-"level" is needed.	Delete words 'at a level that is'

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Vision and Priorities	Campaign to Protect Rural England	Vision Statement is good. A priority should be to produce readable, understandable documents. This will encourage more people to participate.	Noted
Vision and Priorities	Melbourne Civic Society	The vision is too idealistic and unlikely to be achieved with the resources available. The priority should be to get the new process underway simply and quickly. The LDF should be far shorter, less complicated than the recently aborted Review Local Plan.	A vision is the ideal and gives something to aim for requiring us to improve on what we do now which includes making greater resources available for community involvement if necessary. Comments on the LDF are noted.
Vision and Priorities	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Happy with main statement - priorities should be improving the quality of life for SDDC residents and improving the environment while retaining each individual community for the benefit of its inhabitants, through the planning system. Transparency and clarity (plain English). Keep acronyms to a minimum i.e.	Noted. The priorities suggested by the respondent are relevant to other documents that will relate to the development of land etc and not to involvement. Use of acronyms is unavoidable but we will endeavour to keep them to a minimum.
Vision and Priorities	Madecorn Leisure	The vision is appropriate. Priorities should be (1) public forums, (2) exhibitions, (3) use of the website, (4) generation of policies to which the public can relate i.e. simple and not too prescriptive.	Noted.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Vision and Priorities	Sigma Planning Services	Vision meets standard Government requirement. More emphasis should be given to meaningful and considered outcome rather than process. Widening consultation important but should be more than tokenism and should not monopolise resources to the detriment of careful research analysis and intelligent thought as basis for policies.	Noted
Vision and Priorities	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	The vision should seek to provide a clear indication of the Council's intentions toward a transparent and coherent community involvement process. The priorities should identify how and when all stakeholders can engage in the planning process in the formulation of the LDF and the determination of planning applications.	Noted. Add 'transparent' to vision.
Vision and Priorities	Trenport Investments Ltd.	Speed, clarity, transparency.	Noted. Unfortunately the system does not always allow for speed.
Vision and Priorities	Radleigh Homes	To clearly indicate timescales and stick to them.	For Local Development Documents the timetable is set out in the Local Development Scheme and we have to justify in the Annual Monitoring Report why we have not hit them.
Vision and Priorities	Wood Frampton	Making public aware of changing planning circumstances and being responsive to persons engaging in the development control process.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Vision and Priorities	Sharpe Brothers and Co. Ltd.	Involve all relevant people, organisations taking account of resource availability.	Agree
Vision and Priorities	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	Need to have people understand the planning system and its aims. Priority must be to develop and produce simple visual or verbal (bullet point) documents to explain the various parts of the planning system. Must be easy to read and no more than one side of A4.	Noted. Only using one side of paper would be wasteful so 2 sides of A4 would be better.
Vision and Priorities	Mr R A Davoll	Welcomes transparency of new system as past decisions were difficult to understand or based on incorrect information.	Noted
Vision and Priorities	Harold and Betty Wainwright	Consult as quickly and widely as appropriate, come to quick decisions, keep people informed.	Agree although the need to comply with statutory requirements does not always allow us to reach decisions as quickly as we or others would like.
Vision and Priorities	David Webster	Agree with vision.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Vision and Priorities	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	Support vision and priorities. Planning decisions should ensure sustainability of local and wider community, recognising the need to house people in vibrant communities and pleasant surroundings. To sustain village communities need to develop new housing to replace old and allow families to remain in communities they know. Adequate services should be available and within ordinary expectations of people. Traditional approach to development is the most favoured and will be sustainable and acceptable for the longest period.	Noted. The Vision and Strategy in SCI is that for consultation. The other aspects listed by the respondent are relevant to other documents such as the Community Strategy, Corporate Plan and those Local Development Documents still to be produced.
Vision and Priorities	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Support involvement of local people in the planning process. Priorities should be letting people know their views are worthwhile and that they will be listened to.	Noted. The document stresses the need for relevant feedback.
Vision and Priorities	South Derbyshire LSP	The vision is clear and understandable. Priority should be in ensuring the vision becomes a reality. Officers involved in this need to have a real understanding of community involvement and how it will affect the way	Noted and agree.
Vision and Priorities	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	Vision clear and concise. Priorities should include informing, listening to and understanding the community.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q1	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	Uncertain at this stage - don't know enough about LDF system.	Surprised by lack of knowledge as the County Council itself is charged with producing an SCI and LDF for Minerals and Waste and therefore ought to be involving its own departments!
Q1	Melbourne Parish Council	No.	Noted
Q1	Repton Parish Council	The overall effect of planning should be taken into account. Two isolated issues, the Ashby Bypass and A38/A50 junction, have caused a big increase in traffic through Repton with little attempt at traffic management.	Agree that the new style documents must form a cohesive whole and that irrespective of whether different topics are covered at different times their interrelationship is taken into account. Regard must continue to be had to Local Transport Plans.
Q1	Weston on Trent Parish Council	No.	Noted
Q1	Councillor Ron Lane	Our main partners and public service strategies. South Derbyshire District Council Corporate Plan; PCT; Police; Fire; County; Education; Social Services; Transport; Leisure.	The above strategies and those responsible for them are already involved in the process..

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q1	ODPM c/o Government Office for the East Midlands	If SDDC has signed a local compact with the voluntary and community sector, would be useful to refer to this in the SCI. May also be useful to contact Race Equality Council for Derbyshire at Derbyrec@btconnect.com and Engage East Midlands for the voluntary and community sector, to assist in the identification and engagement of hard to reach groups.	The Council is signed up to the Derbyshire Compact and is committed via the Community Strategy Action Plan to sign up to a local one. If this is referred to in the SCI without explanation it will introduce further confusion for the general public, with explanation it will complicate the document. Engage East Midlands are already on our database and have therefore been consulted. We will add Race Equality Council for Derbyshire.
Q1	British Waterways	No	Noted
Q1	National Forest	The National Forest Strategy 2004-14 should be taken on board in preparing the Local Development Framework in accordance with Planning Policy Statement 7.	The strategy is referred to in previous planning policy documents and this will continue to be so with the new LDF

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q1	The National Trust	<p>Unclear how the Council will take account of existing Development Plan documents. In particular, the aborted Local Plan Review and the Inspector's recommendations. This is a matter of some concern to NT especially in the light of its representations at the Inquiry and as yet unsuccessful attempts to discuss with the Council how heritage protection and enhancement with specific reference to Calke Abbey might be taken forward. The position statement was to be prepared by end of June but it does not appear to have been made available for comment or viewing on the website. Would welcome the opportunity to meet the Council to discuss this in more detail.</p>	<p>The Local Development Scheme sets out the work programme for producing the new documents. A Planning Policy Position Statement (adopted on 11th August and available on the website) sets out the main documents to be used to assess planning applications until such time as the new documents have been published.</p>
Q1	Campaign to Protect Rural England	<p>Does the On Trent initiative have some relevance?</p>	<p>Yes, it did in the withdrawn local plan and it will continue to do so in the appropriate new documents.</p>
Q1	Melbourne Civic Society	<p>None.</p>	<p>Noted</p>

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q1	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Current planning process. More time available for complex issue responses (particularly over main holiday periods when many major schemes are launched. A shortened planning process allowing for appeal of planning decisions (at local level forum) currently denied to objectors. Use as much information as possible from	Unfortunately the legislation only allows for a maximum of 6 weeks for formal consultation. However, dealing with the issues in separate documents at different times rather than one document covering everything such as the old style local plan may aid consultation. The Council has no control over national legislation regarding appeals. Much of the work undertaken to inform the now withdrawn local plan will still be valid.
Q1	Madecorn Leisure	The use of radio, regional TV and LA newspapers/publications. Engage the services of a local celebrity to help raise the profile and draw attention to the process.	Whilst we issue press releases we have no control over whether the media take up the information. Investigate contact details for local celebrities and bear in mind for future publicity.
Q1	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	Principle objective of new system is that local planning policies and consideration of development proposals should be derived from national and regional policy and guidance. The ability to adopt a plan, monitor and review strategy is also at the heart of the revised planning system. The LDF should be capable of responding to changes in the circumstances within the region and district in particular.	Agree, although local circumstances may necessitate variance from guidance provided there is justification.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q1	Radleigh Homes	It should be made apparent why the LDF is coming into place and its intrinsic link to the forthcoming RSS8 particularly with regard to regional led housing figures. Urban Capacity Studies should also be updated and referred to, with a particular reference to housing and employment land availability.	The above information is provided in the Local Development Scheme that can be viewed on the Council's
Q1	Wood Frampton	Support entirety of SCI.	Noted
Q1	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	The LDF should have regard to the focus, aims and ambitions of the East Midlands Development Agency.	EMDA is a topic based organisation and as such is consulted at appropriate times.
Q1	Roger Bullivant	Important to refer to the need to have regard to the preparation of the LDFs of the surrounding local authorities and the potential need for cross border / joint working e.g. joint area action plans. It is already known that the West Midlands RSS may require further growth of Burton on Trent and include an examination of previously developed land in South Derbyshire as part of that. Cross border working between the two LPAs will be essential to explore such issues.	This is already a requirement of national guidance and is undertaken subject to available resources and Council priorities.
Q1	Henderson Global Investors	The LDF should be related to a proper assessment of brownfield sites that are able to satisfy the district's needs in a sustainable manner.	The Swadlincote Urban Capacity Study is being updated and expanded to cover the larger villages. The information will inform the future land use documents.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q1	Mr R A Davoll	Policy changes should be advertised. Previous application for dwelling refused on grounds of impact on skyline despite being against a background of other houses but 3 storey houses now acceptable in the middle	Policy changes are advertised through the statutory process.
Q1	Monica Hudson	The National Forest Company. Keep them informed of what SDDC are doing and when meetings are to be held. They may assign someone to keep us informed of their plans for the area.	The company is a longstanding consultee and hosts various meetings at which the Council is represented.
Q1	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	Transport, health and education strategies.	We are already obliged to have regard to Local Transport Plans. We are improving our liaison with Health and Education providers to build a joined up approach.
Q1	South Derbyshire LSP	The wider Community Safety Strategy and 'Choosing Health' - Public Health Strategy.	Add to list of strategies.
Q1	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	2005/08 Crime and Disorder Strategy, 2005/08 Anti-Social Behaviour Strategy, Youth/Junior Needs Survey/Reports and Facilities Plans.	Crime & Disorder Strategy was referred to in the withdrawn local plan and will continue to be taken into account in the preparation of the new style documents. The other strategies

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q2	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	People with physical disabilities, people with mental health problems, ethnic minorities, people that are socially isolated, travellers. Some sort of representation needed for these people e.g. action groups, appointed person to advocate possibly a volunteer or community link	We already consult umbrella organisations and groups representing those listed above but they do not always respond. We should be more persistent in future.
Q2	Barrow upon Trent Parish Council	Most of the population.	Noted
Q2	Barrow upon Trent Parish Council	Agree.	Noted
Q2	Melbourne Parish Council	Consultees would be more likely to respond if they felt they would be listened to. Past perception has been the opposite and the bureaucratic response predictable.	Agree that we need to provide meaningful feedback in future.
Q2	Repton Parish Council	Those not belonging to specific organisations. They will only become interested when planning directly affects them. More readable articles in local newspapers or village magazines may reach some of them.	Agree. Seek out contact details for editors of village magazines/newsletters.
Q2	Ticknall Parish Council	Individual householders should be more concerned with planning, especially when it concerns their	Agree
Q2	Weston on Trent Parish Council	Individuals who are only interested in their immediate neighbourhood. More information readily available may encourage them to think further afield.	Agree.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q2	Councillor Ron Lane	CVS affiliates; local charities.	When we consult organisations such as CVS that act as an umbrella organisation we ask that they pass on the information to their affiliates. Access to their contact database would allow direct consultation. Identify contact details of local charities.
Q2	The National Trust	No specific comments.	Noted
Q2	Campaign to Protect Rural England	People younger than 20 and older than 60. No suggestions.	Noted.
Q2	Melbourne Civic Society	Information on the process should be kept simple but be widely publicised so that local groups can access it on a 'need to know' basis. An efficient website is essential. It is pointless to spend time and money trying to reach groups which might only have a very occasional interest in planning issues. A Parish Council could perhaps appoint one of its members to act as liaison/information officer between community and planning authority.	The terminology specified by legislation makes it difficult to explain in simple terms however we will investigate the use of shorter more reader friendly summaries. All documents are now available on the Council's website which has recently been revamped and relaunched. Agree that Parish Councils are well placed to provide liaison. They are statutory consultees but unfortunately not all Parish Councils have responded to this important consultation exercises.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q2	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Aston on Trent's village website has a contact list of all village groups inc. Recreation in Aston (RIA), SAVE etc. Also refer to Aston Village (parish) Plan which will be sent to SDDC by the end of August 2005. The SCI is intended to involve ordinary people and should be written in simple English without jargon. It could be presented using half the number of words and in a more legible format. Its official nature is a great deterrent. SCI sounds like a Euro directive.	We will look at the website for contact details. Unfortunately whilst the document is intended to involve ordinary people legislation requires certain terminology in order that the document can progress through the various stages of preparation to formal adoption. If we do not meet the requirements we leave ourselves open to legal challenge.
Q2	Derbyshire Association for the Blind Ltd.	Some sight-impaired people hard to reach unless this document is available in audio format and feedback can be accepted orally.	Most documents will be too long for audio versions but we will investigate the production of summaries of the main points in audio and large print.
Q2	Madecorn Leisure	The young and the elderly are perhaps the hardest to reach. Apathy and disinterest are very hard to overcome and the process should not seek to be too perfect by over concentrating on these hard to reach groups. Local government and planning is primarily about leadership and setting clear and unambiguous objectives. The community at large is always more comfortable at seeing the status quo maintained rather than inviting change for the greater benefit of all.	Government guidance requires us to demonstrate that we have consulted and included the hard to reach so we need to demonstrate that we have provided appropriate opportunities for their

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q2	Sigma Planning Services	Community involvement should be by choice. Should achieve total awareness of the process but not persuade those who lack interest to be involved, except where their input essential to the formation or implementation of policies and strategies.	Agree. We will have to strike a balance.
Q2	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	The Council must actively seek to identify all groups which can only be achieved by an active period of interaction and promotion of the component parts of the LDF by various media. This process should not neglect the sustained need to maintain the flow of information to the pre-determined stakeholders.	Agree but the legal processes that we have to go through e.g. waiting for Inspector's reports can mean long periods of inactivity. We do contact everyone on our database at the start of each process.
Q2	Trenport Investments Ltd.	The general public, rather than "single issue" pressure groups or interest groups. Strip out jargon and try to make clear the links between planning and their everyday lives.	We will try our best not to use jargon but we have to ensure that the documents meet statutory legal requirements. Investigate use of a shortened reader friendly summary of the main
Q2	Radleigh Homes	General agreement that local communities are hard to reach groups, in particular individual residents and residents associations and other local community groups and perhaps small businesses. Community involvement should include surgeries - giving local community groups the opportunity to discuss proposals with relevant officers and Media - to include local newspapers/radio stations. Articles could include photos, plans and text and would have the benefit of reaching the local community.	Area Meetings already provide an opportunity for local residents to ask questions/be informed. We regularly issue press releases but have no control over whether the media make use of it. If/when available a Council newsletter/paper could be used.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q2	Wood Frampton	No comment	Noted
Q2	Sharpe Brothers and Co. Ltd.	Need to communicate with owners of premises rather than just tenants. Can be a problem if tenant does not pass on correspondence.	There is no cheap and easy way for the Council to establish ownership therefore we can only hope that a responsible tenant will pass on the information.
Q2	Henderson Global Investors	Community consultation should include community groups but also the business sector.	We do consult and involve the business sector as a matter of course.
Q2	Mr R A Davoll	Publish list of planning applications in the Burton Mail.	A copy of the monthly list of planning applications is currently sent to the Burton Mail and they print selectively from the list according to whether they consider them newsworthy. We pay for a notice for those applications that we are statutorily required to publicise. The cost of having a notice every week for all applications received would not be cost effective. The list can be viewed on the Council's website and we are working towards having the plans submitted on the website in the near future.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q2	Harold and Betty Wainwright	Agree with the grouping and types listed on page 7. Abolish requirement to provide stamped addressed envelopes as this will discourage involvement, should be funded by the Council and probably is not cost effective.	Noted. There will be additional costs not just in the postage but in staff time putting many letters into envelopes. We send out between 5,000-10,000 neighbour consultation letters a year. Decisions can be viewed on the Council's website so therefore it is reasonable to require a stamped addressed envelope from those who require to be notified by post.
Q2	David Webster	Use easily understood language, avoiding acronyms. Use the media to explain things in lay terms.	Unfortunately acronyms form part of the legislation and in order not to be the subject of legal challenge we have to include some of the technical terminology. We will do our best to use simple language where possible. Our press releases use layman's terms but we cannot guarantee that the media will run with a particular story.
Q2	Monica Hudson	Young people, perhaps through Connexions. Ethnic minorities, perhaps contacting through their place of worship.	Find out more about Connexions and make better use of places of worship.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q2	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	Local people and care groups. Very important with regard to matters such as planning applications. Local supermarkets are a good advertising medium.	Agree we should make better use of supermarkets. Whilst they may not have room for actual documents they often have notice boards that we could use.
Q2	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Hard to reach groups: elderly, rural communities, people in large estates such as Boulton Moor that have closer affinity to Derby than to South Derbyshire.  Make process more accessible by tailoring the documentation to these groups and include areas they are interested in to get their attention. Make it very easy to read with bullet points and short headings.	We will aim to meet the groups suggested in an appropriate manner and will seek to ensure that informal documentation is used where possible that is brief and contains layman's terms.
Q2	South Derbyshire LSP	Changes on what and where plans are related to. Obvious hard to reach groups would include disability, ethnic (including traveller community), single parents, young people, farming community.	Umbrella organisations representing various groups are consulted but not all respond.
Q2	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	Travelling community, minority and ethnic communities and young people. The process must be easy to understand, jargon free and user-friendly. Times/days and venues should be selected to suit different groups of	Organisations representing the above groups are consulted. We will endeavour to have meaningful consultation with such groups particularly when they may be affected by topic specific new documents. Agree that times/days/venues should be appropriate.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q3	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	Community groups tend to cover most issues around S. Derbys however do not necessarily agree with the listed 'Interests and Capability' section. Service providers for example would take more of an interest in promoting the strategy if they knew more about it. What better way to access service users from all age groups etc. than through their providers in getting them more involved.	Noted. We have tried in the past to elicit more interest from such service providers but with little success. We must try harder to inform and involve such providers in future in order to maximise their usefulness as suggested by the respondent.
Q3	Melbourne Parish Council	SDDC presentations to Parish councils have increased their knowledge of planning. If SDDC is committed further involvement will occur in future.	Noted. Unfortunately not all Parish Council's take up the offer of participating or attending specific seminars.
Q3	Repton Parish Council	The groups listed will all have vested interests in planning and their views will be biased, with the exception of parish and district councils.	Special interest groups can offer constructive advice when policies on specific topics are being formulated. A balanced decision is made once all the differing views have been taken into account and weighed up.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q3	Weston on Trent Parish Council	I think many groups are interested but for bodies such as Parish Councils more time should be given.	Agree but unfortunately legislation specifies a maximum of 6 weeks for formal consultation. We will endeavour to have prior informal consultation but we still have to meet Government's aim of speeding up the process. The Local Development Scheme that can be viewed on the Council's website sets out the important dates for consultation. Knowing those in advance should give Parish Councils an opportunity to call special meetings/ rearrange dates so that they have sufficient time to consider documents.
Q3	Councillor Ron Lane	Specific interest groups.	This is already done as a matter of course and we have advertised the fact that we want interest groups to provide us with contact details so that we can add them to our database.
Q3	British Waterways	Yes	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q3	The National Trust	No objections to types of community groups listed. It is noted that the Trust's own interests and experience goes beyond that of just a 'developer and landowner' - (Submission supported by a leaflet about the work of the Trust).	The Trust is a consultee that has a long standing entry on our database and therefore has had and will continue to have an opportunity to become involved at a variety of stages in the development of the various documents. The activities of the Trust are noted but in the interests of simplicity multiple entries have been avoided in the appendix.
Q3	National Farmers Union	Pleased that NFU is listed in Appendix 3 but suggest setting out the full name rather than the initials.	Amend entry
Q3	Campaign to Protect Rural England	Yes. Yes.	Noted
Q3	Melbourne Civic Society	Re appendix 3 - Under 'Additional Authorities' the Civic Trust should be named alongside CABE etc. Under 'Local Communities' there should be a heading for local amenity societies. Such societies, especially those registered with the Civic Trust have an intrinsic interest in and understanding of the planning process. They are well placed to make a positive contribution to LDF proposals and new policies.	Delete Appendix from actual document so that organisations can be added/deleted when necessary without the need for the formal processes to be undertaken. Local amenity societies are already covered by 'Community Groups (interest, activity and belief)' as their specific 'interest' is amenity.
Q3	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Yes.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q3	Madecorn Leisure	Agree	Noted
Q3	Sigma Planning Services	Agree.	Noted
Q3	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	The groups listed are reasonably accurate. However it may be appropriate to identify sub-groupings and their ability to engage in the process. Developers and landowners have been identified as an easy to reach community group, primarily based on previous activity within the district. It is important to note that this grouping has a broad range of interests that may be applicable at differing timescales. It is therefore important to ensure that the components of the LDF are promoted in a sustained and proactive manner.	We contact all those on the database at the start of and during the various stages of document preparation. Groups such as developers have an opportunity to decide whether or not they wish to be involved and at which stage.
Q3	Trenport Investments Ltd.	Agree.	Noted
Q3	Wm Morrisons Supermarkets Plc	Yes & Yes	Noted
Q3	Radleigh Homes	Agree with the principles set out in this part of the document.	Noted
Q3	Wood Frampton	Yes.	Noted
Q3	Sharpe Brothers and Co. Ltd.	Agree.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q3	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	Agree.	Noted
Q3	Henderson Global Investors	Agree.	Noted
Q3	Mr R A Davoll	Parish Councils too close to the problem and view most applications by personal knowledge of the applicant rather than the planning intention.	The District Council weighs up all the material planning considerations including the views of the Parish Council before making a decision.
Q3	Harold and Betty Wainwright	Agree	Noted
Q3	David Webster	Agree.	Noted
Q3	Monica Hudson	Agree. For next round of information, suggest that anyone who has responded and any groups from the CVS Directory to be given permission to copy the questionnaire by as many of the group who want to reply. In that way the group or individual would stand the cost and less	When documents are large copying is often difficult for community groups and organisations including Parish Councils. The document and questionnaire were available on the Council's website and could have been completed and returned electronically thus totally paper free.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q3	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	The weight of voice or argument should be in direct proportion to the effect any planning decision will have on those concerned.	All arguments should be weighed up before a decision is taken.
Q3	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Agree	Noted
Q3	South Derbyshire LSP	Under local communities you have included the LSP and Local Area Committees. Those are not community groups and are not hard to reach. An additional definition would include 'geographical'.	The LSP surely represents the community through its production of the Community Strategy - the text in the right hand column refers to 'some' community groups' and the LSP is unlikely to be one of those to which that column refers to as being hard to reach. Add category 'geographical' which would include rural communities and inner urban area. Delete reference to Area Committees.
Q3	Home Builders Federation	Welcome specific reference to HBF but note name change to 'Home Builders Federation'. Hopefully, landowners, planning agents and developers (including local house builders) will be directly consulted on new documents and where appropriate invited to developers workshops or focus groups. The development industry has an important role in the planning process particularly the implementation of policies and proposals.	Amend entry. We will provide opportunities for involvement as and when appropriate but as a general rule developers and agents already have a knowledge of the planning system and know when and how to get involved. Landowners may not be so knowledgeable.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q3	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	Good selection of groups identified. Should include local crime reduction groups, neighbourhood watches, youth forum and safer neighbourhood panels. Many people aren't interested in planning until they want an extension or have a problem with e.g.. Anti-social behaviour in open spaces/parks.	Noted. We will endeavour to involve such local groups when documents relate to their area. Seek contact details and add to database. We did consult the Youth MP but received no response.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q4	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	No, other than advertising/promoting the strategy in local shops, supermarkets, day centres, libraries, hospitals.	Noted. We will seek to make better use of the locations suggested.
Q4	Barrow upon Trent Parish Council	People can be asked but how can interest be stimulated?	That's is what we were trying to elicit from the consultation. We need to target the appropriate audience rather than consult everyone on everything.
Q4	Melbourne Parish Council	Presupposes that SDDC is fully committed to understanding public views on forward planning and listening to opposing views.	Opposing views are considered and in regard to the content of new style documents opposing views will be debated at an examination and a binding report produced by an independent Inspector.
Q4	Repton Parish Council	Agree. People will only take an interest in planning which directly affects them. Their views are important but will not necessarily take into account the overall benefit accruing to the area.	Noted. Agree and this is why differing views have to be weighed up when decisions are made.
Q4	Weston on Trent Parish Council	Yes, agree with principles.	Noted
Q4	British Waterways	Agree	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q4	The National Trust	No specific comments	Noted
Q4	Campaign to Protect Rural England	Yes. Not that we are aware of.	Noted
Q4	Melbourne Civic Society	Nothing further to add.	Noted
Q4	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Agree.	Noted
Q4	Madecorn Leisure	Agree	Noted
Q4	Sigma Planning Services	Agree.	Noted
Q4	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	The key principles are appropriate but it will be necessary for the Council to be 'Decisive'. Whilst recognising the benefit of 'front loading' there will be circumstances when the Council must make decisions that perhaps conflict with the feedback received throughout the LDF process.	Noted. Where the Council makes a decision that conflicts with the feedback from the LDF process justification must be provided. If there are differences these will be resolved via the examination by an independent inspector and under the new system his recommendations will be
Q4	Trenport Investments Ltd.	Agree. It needs to be made clear that early involvement is essential.	Noted. The documents refers to this under the point 'Timely' listed under the heading 'Our

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q4	Wm Morrisons Supermarkets Plc	Yes & No	Noted
Q4	Radleigh Homes	General agreement. There needs to be more emphasis on timing - there are a large number of documents coming out in a short space of time. It is therefore important to have a staged approach to the release of documentation and awareness of when people can make	Noted. Advance notification as to the timing of consultation exercises is provided in the Local Development Scheme. It is not always possible to stage the release of documents particularly given the Government's aim to speed up the process.
Q4	Wood Frampton	Agree with principles.	Noted
Q4	Sharpe Brothers and Co. Ltd.	Agree.	Noted
Q4	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	Agree with principles. A regular forum (say bi-monthly) that is well publicised and with an agenda published in advance would be a way of securing on-going involvement, interest and consultation.	Noted. Given all those on the database it would be difficult to find a venue in order to invite everyone to a general forum however such an event is useful when dealing with specific topics or area based proposals so that appropriate invitees are targeted. In any event bi monthly would be too frequent as there may not be anything to talk about depending on the stage in the process. A quarterly news letter may be more appropriate.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q4	Henderson Global Investors	Agree.	Noted
Q4	Harold and Betty Wainwright	Agree.	Noted
Q4	David Webster	Agree with principles for community involvement, but people have no interest in things that won't affect them personally or the immediate area they live in.	Agree which is why we have to tailor consultation rather than trying all the time to consult everyone on everything.
Q4	Monica Hudson	Agree	Noted
Q4	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	Agree with principles. Effective communication is the key to most community involvement. Letterbox flyers are a good way of getting to local people.	Noted. Agree and will use when financial resources allow.
Q4	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Make sure that the end product is used and be clear as to what it is to be used for. People won't get involved if they think it is a paper exercise and just a tick in the box for the Council.	Agree
Q4	South Derbyshire LSP	Yes, the principles are fine. The tense used in the definitions needs to be uniform as in 'you' or 'people'.	Noted. Amend so that tense is consistent.
Q4	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	Agree.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q5	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	Yes. 1) Day centres, leaflets, posters, speakers, link person/people 2) Reception areas, leaflets, posters, link person 3) Support groups, speakers 4) Liberation Day and other local events to promote strategy.	Noted. We will contact the Social Services Department to ascertain the best way of using such methods and for contact details of the various day centres etc.
Q5	Barrow upon Trent Parish Council	Put information on mobile libraries.	We do put information on the mobile libraries but unfortunately there is restricted space for plans to be unfolded and documents stored also the vehicle may not stay in the location long enough. We will however seek to maximise their use.
Q5	Melbourne Parish Council	No.	Noted
Q5	Overseal Parish Council	There is reference to public meetings and surgeries - the Parish Council is concerned that the suggested use of Area Committees could result in the same people being consulted twice as most people attending do so in another capacity e.g. as Parish Councillors. Members of the public tend not to attend. As an alternative, use of other groups such as schools (which have own newsletters) would be an improvement although leaflets and newsletters are often ignored. Parish Councils should be used more to direct consultations towards the right people and organisations as they would have detailed local knowledge..	Comments are noted. If more use were made of Area Meetings then perhaps more members of the public would attend. We are increasingly directing developers to Parish Councils particularly with regard to large developments. Use of school newsletters is noted.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q5	Repton Parish Council	Parish councils are motivated representatives of the local community. Councillors may not speak on a subject if they have any personal or pecuniary interest. Therefore you are likely to get unbiased views. They are ideally placed to comment as they represent local people and are in close contact with local organisations.	It is interesting that other respondents have a different view about the Parish Council's unbiased approach. They are as a matter of course consulted on planning applications and policy documents.
Q5	Weston on Trent Parish Council	The list is wide ranging. Other methods - word of mouth - once people can see that their input has had a difference, and they tell others more people will 'get on board'.	Noted
Q5	British Waterways	No	Noted
Q5	The National Trust	No specific suggestions. Rather than trying to use a multitude of methods there may be merit in concentrating on a smaller number of well prepared and resourced methods.	Monitoring will identify which methods have been most successful. Techniques will be tailored according to the stage in the process and who the consultation/involvement is intended for.
Q5	Campaign to Protect Rural England	Information in mobile libraries may reach people in rural areas who would not otherwise get the information. Roadshows may also be helpful.	Mobile libraries are used but space is limited. Roadshows in the past have not been successful but under the new planning system might be useful for specific topics directly related to a specific area.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q5	Melbourne Civic Society	The list is comprehensive but the projected level of involvement is unrealistic in terms of time and money available and likely to prove exhausting and frustrating for Council staff and consultation targets alike. There is a danger of making the system so complex that it will never get off the ground.	The intention is to use the best method for the particular policy issue/area proposed for development and the targeted audience. The new system requires us to ensure that we have done the best we can with the resources we have to fully engage the community. We have to submit an Annual Monitoring Report to the Government and that will identify whether or not methods have been successful and whether our targets need to be reassessed.
Q5	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Local community websites are another way to distribute information to local people giving them a chance to respond to local issues. Also refer to Q2. Local website: Does it inform? Yes. Does it consult? Yes. Does it involve? Y/N.	Agree although we cannot use websites unless we are provided with details. Add to list.
Q5	Madecorn Leisure	Agree - you seem to have considered most options. Because of apathy greater feedback might be obtained through use of focus groups and workshops. These need to be carefully managed if constructive debate is to be held and useable conclusions derived. It is important the business community is full represented in such forums.	Noted. Reference is made in the document to involving the business community.
Q5	Sigma Planning Services	Planning mediation.	Add to list.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q5	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	All the methods identified have positive and negative aspects. It will be necessary for the Council to ensure that the feedback received is consistent and has proportionate input into the LDF process. This will ensure that all stakeholders have equal standing in the derivation of policies.	Agree
Q5	Wm Morrisons Supermarkets Plc	Would like to be kept informed and consulted on further stages of preparation of documents which are to comprise the LDF, particularly with regard to any new retail allocations and/or new designated town/district/local centres in South Derbyshire, and any emerging SPDs. The most appropriate methods of consultation for us are by direct mailing, e-mail and on-line. Please ensure that Peacock and Smith are included on behalf of Wm Morrison Supermarkets plc within the consultation	Noted
Q5	Radleigh Homes	Information sent by e-mail.  Online questionnaires and feedback forms.	The document acknowledges that use of the internet should be improved as and when financial resources allow.
Q5	Wood Frampton	No.	Noted
Q5	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	Break plans down into manageable issues.	This is one of the benefits of the new system.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q5	Henderson Global Investors	May be appropriate in certain circumstances to adopt "enquiry by design" in order to enable local communities to articulate their needs for major development proposals.	This is covered by the entry 'Participative planning activities'. Seek further details for this specific initiative.
Q5	Mr R A Davoll	Publish list of planning applications in the Burton Mail.	Cost would be approx £18,000 + VAT per year. Publishing full lists each week would not be cost effective. We would also have to publish in the Derby Evening Telegraph so cost would more than double. Specific applications such as departures from the development plan, listed buildings etc are advertised in local newspapers in accordance with legislation. The newspapers have a copy of the weekly lists and choose which ones to write editorial about.
Q5	David Webster	Agree with principles for community involvement, but people have no interest in things that won't affect them personally or the immediate area they live in.	Agree
Q5	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Could use Parish Council meetings more with presentations and Q and A sessions with members of SDDC attending.	Officers do offer to give presentations to Parish Council's but not all take up the offer or attend the regular liaison meetings with the Council.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q5	South Derbyshire LSP	No. Don't agree with some of the statements about effectiveness. Media-interactive radio phone-ins for big issues could be used to consult. The last four methods listed need not be costly or difficult to organise. There is local expertise available within the voluntary sector to support this work. Strategic view needs to be taken. i.e. linked to LSP consultation/communication strategy.	Unfortunately we have no control over whether the media including radio takes up stories in Press Releases. They are normally only interested in controversial issues. Respondent does not state who and where to contact the local expertise. Ideally the LSP and LDF contact databases should be merged and we will investigate this further.
Q5	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	Linking in with existing groups/meetings egg. Local crime reduction groups. Liaison with Partnership's Community Engagement Officer for access to meetings.	Agree would be useful where timing of meetings is compatible.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q6	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	Could look at sharing information with different community groups, better networking systems to enhance delivery of information to local people. Good consultation processes with local people to raise more awareness about community involvement.	Agree but need to be aware of data protection. We have contacted the CVS for example for details of umbrella organisations for hard to reach groups.
Q6	Barrow upon Trent Parish Council	Make documents more readable, interesting and easily understood. Remove jargon.	A simple A4 summary sheet of the key points set out in plain English may meet this. We would however have to produce the full document to meet legislative requirements in order to get the documents approved by the Secretary of State.
Q6	Melbourne Parish Council	Queries the cost as list is very comprehensive . Some logic in pilot schemes using sampling techniques.	Although the list is comprehensive, which approaches are used will as the chart on page 11 shows depend on the stage in the process, whether it relates to a specific issue/area which would be of interest to a limited audience. Approaches have to be cost effective and only monitoring success (or failure) over time will demonstrate which ones are the most useful. Clearly consultation has not been as effective in the past as it could have been so we need to look at new methods.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q6	Repton Parish Council	The list is very comprehensive.	Noted
Q6	Weston on Trent Parish Council	Inform local groups/Parish Councils of the outcome of projects and how their input helped - this will encourage others to get involved.	Agree. We hope to continue with the process we initiated with the local plan where each respondent was sent a copy of the Council's decision regarding their objection. The new planning system seeks to ensure that there is meaningful consultation and that includes feedback to those who have
Q6	Councillor Ron Lane	Citizens Panel.	Already covered by the list of methods to which Q5 related.
Q6	British Waterways	No	Noted
Q6	The National Trust	No specific comments	Noted
Q6	National Farmers Union	Do not over rely on internet as many people still without computers and many in rural areas without broadband so large files cannot be easily downloaded.	Agree. Whilst we are required by regulations to have specific documents available on the website we must not lose sight of the fact that not everyone will have access to the internet. We will have to use whatever medium is the most appropriate.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q6	Campaign to Protect Rural England	The answers above also apply to this question. Also, ensuring documents are easily readable.	Noted
Q6	Melbourne Civic Society	The website could generate a high level of involvement provided it is well designed and easy to access. Local radio is an excellent way to get basic information across to individuals as well as groups and is likely to promote feedback via phone and e-mail. Making more complex information and documents available in rural areas could pose a problem where library opening hours are limited or other public venues non-existent.	Agree although any media including radio is selective about what information it wishes to promote.
Q6	SAVE Aston Village Environment	As Q5. Produce documentation to be read by ordinary people - not to impress bureaucracy. Try saying 'associated sustainability appraisal' several times, what does this mean to the layman? Local councillors need to recognise that they represent their constituents.	Noted but we do not use jargon to 'impress bureaucracy' we use specific terms as required by legislation as we would not wish to find resources wasted at the end of a long process because of legal challenges and dismissal of the document on technical grounds. We can overcome this by producing single sheet summaries of documents.
Q6	Sigma Planning Services	Planning mediation.	Add to list.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q6	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	The table on page 11 should refer to the community groups indicated on page 7. This will permit the Council to specifically plan and target differing groups, with differing abilities to engage with the planning process in the most efficient manner. This will allow those groups to influence how they wish to be approached at each stage.	The document can only set out suggested ways of informing and involving various groups at various stages. The Annual Monitoring Report will establish whether the appropriate methods have been used. If the SCI is too specific it cannot be easily amended if a chosen method proves to be inappropriate for a particular group.
Q6	Radleigh Homes	The running of surgeries for the representatives of local area committees, residents associations and committee groups in order to keep them well informed and then relay that information to their respective groups.	Already included in the
Q6	Wood Frampton	No	Noted
Q6	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	Accessibility depends on making a difficult topic easier to understand, hence the suggestion of prioritising the production of easy visual and verbal guides.	Agree. Summaries of the main points in easy to understand language could be produced to supplement the official versions of documents that have to contain legal jargon.
Q6	Henderson Global Investors	There are a range of measures that will ensure community participation is accessible including the use of information technology and proposing consultation events throughout the working day.	The document recognises and refers to both of these.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q6	Harold and Betty Wainwright	Abolish requirement to provide stamped addressed envelopes as this will discourage involvement, should be funded by the Council and probably is not cost effective.	Same response as Q2
Q6	Monica Hudson	Agree. For next round of information, suggest that anyone who has responded and any groups from the CVS Directory to be given permission to copy the questionnaire by as many of the group who want to reply. In that way the group or individual would stand the cost and less	Permission is not required. Even Parish Council's find it difficult to copy documents so we have to ensure that sufficient copies are available. Forms can be downloaded from the website.
Q6	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Make everything as simple as possible so people are not put off by jargon and thinking they don't understand enough to contribute. Make a clear timetable well in advance to allow people to plan their involvement. However they will also need to be reminded.	An early indication of when we are likely to be undertaking consultation is set out in the Local Development Scheme that can be viewed on the website.
Q6	South Derbyshire LSP	The biggest barrier to making the process accessible is the use of 'council speak'. There needs to be time spent on analysing language used, within the process. The process is fine but people need to understand. This document does not make for easy reading - very wordy! Use the expertise available within the community.	The document is wordy partly because it includes explanations of technical terms, and a degree of repetition to help get the message across. Respondent does not provide any contact details for the experts available in the community.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q6	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	<p data-bbox="938 272 1547 416">Travelling community, minority and ethnic communities and young people. The process must be easy to understand, jargon free and user-friendly. Times/days and venues should be selected to suit different groups of residents.</p> <p data-bbox="938 440 1547 502">Linking in with existing groups/meetings e.g.. Local crime reduction groups. Liaison with Partnership's Community</p>	<p data-bbox="1617 272 1989 472">Agree these are hard to reach groups and we do consult the representative organisations. It is impossible for documents to be completely argon free as we have to comply with statutory regulations.</p>

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q7	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	Don't fully understand it.	This is probably because the legislation has introduced a raft of new terminology. More explanation of the system can be found in the Local Development Scheme that can be viewed on the website.
Q7	Barrow upon Trent Parish Council	Yes	Noted
Q7	Melbourne Parish Council	Extended schemes should be preceded by pilots so that budgets can be controlled.	We will learn from experience and may have to amend the Local Development Scheme that sets out the work programme if we have to build in time to undertake pilots before the main consultation.
Q7	Repton Parish Council	Yes.	Noted
Q7	Weston on Trent Parish Council	Yes.	Noted
Q7	British Waterways	Yes	Noted
Q7	The National Trust	No specific comments	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q7	Campaign to Protect Rural England	We agree that the proposed approaches are appropriate and realistic.	Noted
Q7	Melbourne Civic Society	See comments on Q5. The intentions are good but the proposed system is too bureaucratic and time consuming.	Unfortunately the Council has to comply with the legislation that established the system.
Q7	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Yes.	Noted
Q7	Madecorn Leisure	All appear reasonable.	Noted
Q7	Sigma Planning Services	Yes	Noted
Q7	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	See response to Q6	Noted
Q7	Trenport Investments Ltd.	It is not ambitious enough. There should be more ticks.	Respondent does not identify where the extra ticks should go.
Q7	Radleigh Homes	Agree in principle. Greater emphasis in the initial stages should be given to those approaches which are wider reaching such as newsletters and press releases.	Noted and agree.
Q7	Wood Frampton	Yes.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q7	Sharpe Brothers and Co. Ltd.	Yes.	Noted
Q7	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	There are too many approaches to be managed effectively. The Local Authority must limit itself to certain core methods throughout. Failure on a particular method, for whatever reason, might lead to someone saying that they were prejudiced. This has the potential to undermine the strategy. The approach should therefore be simple and certain.	Which approach is used will vary according to the stage in the process and who the intended audience is. We have to be sure that everyone has an opportunity to be involved even if they do not take up that opportunity.
Q7	Henderson Global Investors	Support proposed approaches.	Noted
Q7	Harold and Betty Wainwright	Yes	Noted
Q7	David Webster	Well thought out and appropriate.	Noted
Q7	Monica Hudson	Very good. Yes.	Noted
Q7	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	The table looks over optimistic. It might be better to concentrate on five or six methods.	The community is diverse and we have to demonstrate that we have provided an opportunity for everyone to participate. We will use those methods that are the most cost effective.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q7	Mrs Hilary Coyle	It would be good to involve as many people as possible but it needs to be timely and not take years to produce anything. Difficult to get the correct balance and please everyone.	The Government envisages that it will take 3 yrs to complete each document but with reviews it will be an ongoing process.
Q7	South Derbyshire LSP	Fine as far as it goes, but don't understand where all the community involvement fits with the process. Reiterate point - this is not easy to read or understand.	This is because the new system set out in legislation has introduced new terminology. We have attempted to briefly outline the system but it is explained in more detail in the Local Development Scheme that can be viewed on the website.
Q7	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	Well thought through. Not sure how realistic it is as not sure what resources are available. How will resources be prioritised? Essential to keep the community informed of progress through the different stages.	Noted. The Council has to set out the resources it has in the Local Development Scheme that sets out the main work programme for preparing the new documents. Agree feedback/updates are important.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q8	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	Don't know enough about planning or development to pass comment but feel that involvement outlined is a	Noted
Q8	Barrow upon Trent Parish Council	Better than at present.	Noted
Q8	Melbourne Parish Council	Important that all parties are aware of the proposal and make representations before the application is determined. Meetings between the community and applicant would be unproductive and would lead to trouble and ongoing bad feeling.	If discussions take place before submission of the application then there is an opportunity for the scheme to be amended to accommodate suggested changes/mitigate impacts and thus generate fewer objections. If this is done after submission then that delays the process and the Council will not be able to meet the Governments target for time taken to determine an application.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q8	Newton Solney Parish Council	Five weeks rather than three weeks should be allowed for interested parties to comment. There also needs to be more diligent consultation with immediate neighbours.	We appreciate that 3 weeks is considered to be too short but in accordance with Government targets the Council should be determining an application within 8 weeks. If 5 weeks is allowed for representations then there would not be sufficient time to properly consider the responses, seek amendments to plans, write up reports and get them printed and distributed. Encouraging applicants to discuss their proposals with their neighbours should help to flag up problems/find design solutions before the application is submitted.
Q8	Overseal Parish Council	The proposed exclusion of properties more than 4 metres away is too restrictive. In effect, only properties with a common boundary are likely to be consulted whereas development can be contentious and require wider consultation e.g. backland development. Also statement that 'Any road less than 20 metres width will be discounted' is ambiguous and needs clarification.	Properties that immediately adjoin a site are those most likely to be unduly affected. Each site is visited by a case officer who identifies additional properties further away is appropriate. Amend text to provide further explanation and clarity regarding the 4m and 20m references.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q8	Repton Parish Council	If the process is followed as detailed it is very good. Notification of neighbours is not always carried out probably due to strict adherence to the 4 metre rule. Site notices not always placed.	We have to have some limit as to how far we spread 'neighbour notification' and the standard we use has normally worked well. Site notices are checked and where we discover they have been taken down they are replaced.
Q8	Weston on Trent Parish Council	Yes, particularly at pre-application and application stages. Parish Councils have a vital role to play at these	Noted
Q8	ODPM c/o Government Office for the East Midlands	Indicate that the planning authority for minerals and waste matters is Derbyshire County Council and that it will prepare its own SCI.	Amend text accordingly
Q8	British Waterways	Yes. Whilst welcoming applications being on-line we still wish to have notification of applications via e-mail or letter with a link to the website. BW became a statutory consultee for certain planning applications affecting waterways and BW has other duties to safeguard inland canal and rivers they own and manage. As such BW would welcome being consulted at the pre-application stage on significant applications relating to waterways or within BW's consultation Zone. BW will shortly be providing all LAs with a digital plan of the consultation zones. Would also recommend that it stresses that the 21 day consultation period begins on day of receipt rather than from the date on the letter to avoid confusion.	Noted. Where pre-application discussions relate to a site adjacent to a waterway it is most likely that BW would be included in the list of organisations that the Council suggests the developer discusses their

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q8	The National Trust	<p>NT appreciates difficulties in notifying interested parties. Agrees that site notices can be unhelpful - they have a habit of disappearing and are often 'missed'. This is a particular difficulty for landowners such as NT at Calke where estate is large and very sensitive to development adjacent to and in vicinity of its boundaries. Notices might be displayed some distance from regularly used access points. NT is able to supply land ownership details if it would be helpful. This needs to be treated with care as some development can have an impact even where well away from a physical boundary.</p> <p>It would assist consideration of proposals if all submitted documents could be viewed on the Council's website.</p>	<p>Noted. We are continuously updating the website and hope shortly to have plans available to view there too so anyone such as the respondent can be proactive and assess for themselves which applications they wish to comment on.</p>
Q8	Campaign to Protect Rural England	Yes.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q8	Melbourne Civic Society	<p>Pre application: direct discussion between applicants and officers prior to the development of plans should be the creative stage of generating ideas before money has been spent which makes change more difficult and positions get entrenched. However, actively encouraging consultation between developer and community is likely to add substantial costs to the already large fees incurred in drawing up feasibility schemes and preliminary plans. If 'actively encourage' means 'we won't deal with applications until this has happened', the extra burden on applicants is likely to lead to further delays and costs and add more burden to the workloads of planning officers and community groups.</p> <p>Application (additional): this could include circulating details to local amenity societies as of right.</p>	<p>Agree with the first part but disagree with the second - If the developer is aware of the community's concerns then he can be taken into account in the design, layout etc of a scheme. If done after a planning application has been submitted then he may well be less inclined to make changes. 'Actively encourage' means we will publicise in our documents and during informal discussions, we cannot refuse to accept an application where the developer has not undertaken discussions. 'Rights' are given by legislation to certain bodies referred to as 'statutory consultees'. Amenity groups can view the list of applications on the website and hopefully will soon be able to view the actual plans there too.</p>
Q8	SAVE Aston Village Environment	<p>Yes. An online database of planning applications and their progress.</p>	<p>Checking on line progress is not yet possible but when resources allow it is a service we wish to provide.</p>

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q8	Madecorn Leisure	<p>1 Pre application discussions with developers should always be encouraged</p> <p>2 Pre application discussions with neighbours can be worthwhile in dissipating objections at a later stage. However, it depends on the nature of the development proposal and may not always be appropriate.</p> <p>3 The application (minimum) procedures are already adequate</p> <p>4 The application (additional) - greater use of the website should be made to publish factual information about the application and about its progress. The information published should be entirely objective.</p> <p>5 The timetable for consultations could be extended beyond the current 21 days to say 40 days for more contentious applications. However, beyond that time late submissions should be rejected.</p> <p>6 Decisions - notification of planning decisions should be made on the website and in lists made available in council offices and libraries. Notification to other third parties should be made where they have provided an SAE.</p>	Noted. Government sets targets for determining planning applications and whilst there is longer provided for major applications given that the issues are normally more complex we need sufficient time to consider them thus extending the period for consultation will not be practical.
Q8	Sigma Planning Services	Yes	Noted
Q8	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	Appears appropriate and realistic.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q8	Trenport Investments Ltd.	The definition of "larger scheme" in the residential context, is too low. A larger scheme should be at least 30 dwellings or 1 hectare to avoid capturing too many small, brownfield redevelopment sites which will clog the system. The 21 day limit for comments should be adhered to except in exceptional circumstances.	The definition is based on an existing one set out in planning legislation. To introduce a different definition would be confusing. The 21 day limit is normally met and the policy sets out when an exception will be made.
Q8	Radleigh Homes	Agree in principle - planning applications should be able to be viewed comprehensively online and to include plans for the proposed development where appropriate. There is a danger however, that too much involvement in the initial process could labour and delay the process. Concern over action that developers have to take on the consultations that have been made and who monitors	We are committed to improving use of the website but the costs involved are considerable so we can only make improvements as and when resources and technology allow. We hope shortly to make the plans available to view on the website. Whether or not actions taken by developers are effective will be established through feedback from local communities. It is in the developers interest to provide information and explanation to the community in order to reduce the amount of objection.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q8	Wood Frampton	Points listed are realistic goals. Agree with pre-application discussions. Important for planning information to be available on the web-site (I.e. committee reports, applications and decisions). This will be a quicker and more effective use of time for both members of the public and for members of the Council.	Noted. List of applications and decisions are already available on the web and we are working towards the plans being available to view there too.
Q8	Sharpe Brothers and Co. Ltd.	Beware of increased costs.	Noted
Q8	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	Approach needs to be proportionate to the matter at issue. It is unrealistic to have a "one size fits all" approach. Judgments about the level/type of involvement need to be assessed against specific criteria.	Agree. The document does not set out a one size fits all approach.
Q8	Roger Bullivant	Pre-application section - support the approach of not specifying a list of the stakeholders/community groups that should be consulted for every application and assume the intention is that this should be discussed with council officers prior to commencing the consultation to ensure that the appropriate groups (perhaps from the list in Appendix 3 set out for the LDF process) for that application are engaged.	Noted
Q8	Henderson Global Investors	Support involvement of people in the planning application process.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q8	Harold and Betty Wainwright	For large/complicated planning applications the response period may need to be increased. Replies should not be dependent on stamped addressed envelopes.	There has to be a set timescale for responses to enable sufficient time for their proper consideration. Decisions can be viewed on the website so it is appropriate that those who want to be informed by post send a stamped addressed envelope.
Q8	David Webster	It is right to involve people but there has to be an independent element in decision making.	All material planning considerations are weighed up before decisions are made on planning applications. The right of appeal allows an independent decision on the occasions where the applicant is unhappy with the Council's decision.
Q8	Monica Hudson	Very good. Yes.	Noted
Q8	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	Approach looks adequate and realistic.	Noted

Question Ref	Name/Organisation	Summary	Response/Recommendation
Q8	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Good idea in principle, but how can SDDC remain impartial having had detailed talks with the developer and not the community involved? It then feels like a "done deal" to the community.	At pre-application discussion the developer is advised of the relevant policies that apply to the site and any problems that would have to be overcome e.g. access, flooding etc. This seeks to ensure that if a planning application is subsequently submitted those issues have been dealt with. Where a developer at pre application is told that his proposal is contrary to established policies then a planning application might not materialise. Early discussions are carried out with the community regarding the allocation of large sites through the formal processes. Once the appropriate document is adopted it would be inappropriate to consult the community on the principle of whether the site should be developed as that has already been established. Discussions and consultation on the detail are relevant.
Q8	South Derbyshire LSP	See Q7	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q8	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	Neighbour notification policy letter needs to be clear and user-friendly. Would it be possible to include map/plan of location? Maximising use of a website/the internet is essential. Pre-application stages are also key as is "active encouragement". What will this involve?	Noted. Incorporating a map is a good suggestion but unfortunately is not practical to implement (we send out 5,000-10,000 neighbour consultation letters a year generated by computer and we would have to link to the mapping software) . We are working towards loading the plans associated with planning applications onto the website so that they can be viewed there. We will publicise our commitment to giving pre-application advice, encouraging developers to inform and involve the local community etc in our planning guidance documents and when

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q9	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	Don't feel that people understand the role of the Parish Councils and what their representation and involvement is re community involvement. Perhaps a starting point would be to produce a leaflet to outline locally who these people are and how to contact them or get involved in community initiatives.	the District Council formally consults Parish Councils and has regular liaison meetings but it is really the responsibility of the Parish Council to promote itself within its community.
Q9	Melbourne Parish Council	Document gives the impression that officers, rather than members, decide policy and should therefore be	Disagree. The document makes it clear that officers give members professional advice and prepare draft documents. Members make the decisions regarding policy and on major and controversial developments. Some decisions to grant/refuse planning applications are delegated to officers where the proposals comply with or are contrary to the agreed policies.
Q9	Weston on Trent Parish Council	OK.	Noted
Q9	Councillor Ron Lane	Concerned about the apparent restricted role of District Council members and feel their broad representational role should be reflected in the SCI.	Add to text reference to Members consideration of consultation responses including those from the community when determining policy and planning

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q9	The National Trust	Unclear how 'role of the community' will be defined. There are a number of other groups who have expertise and a contribution to make to the production of LDF documents. This could include a variety of environmental organisations such as those on the East Midlands Environmental Link (EMEL). Collectively this group has a range of specialisms in the planning field	Add reference to statutory consultees/specialist organisations.
Q9	Campaign to Protect Rural England	Agree with the roles and responsibilities listed.	Noted
Q9	Melbourne Civic Society	Role of the community: this should be re-worded to encourage a more pro-active approach and a sense of vision for the future. Local communities should have the opportunity to contribute to the creative development of their town or village.	The purpose of the consultation on this document was to identify ways of making the community become more involved. The community will have an opportunity to be creative during the consultation on the appropriate future documents that will shape their town or village. The Community's vision is already expressed in the Community Strategy.
Q9	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Village (parish) Plans and Village Design Statements need to be considered, they reflect the wishes of the	Parish Plans and Village Design Statements will help to inform the document that will contain the suite of development control policies and supplementary planning documents and possibly the allocations document at the appropriate time.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q9	Madecorn Leisure	<p>Officers - to provide unbiased, balanced and impartial advice to councillors and the public.</p> <p>Council members - to provide clear community leadership and to accept and act upon the advice of their professional officers. To promote renewal and improvement of the human and urban and rural environments for the benefit of the community as a whole.</p> <p>Parish Councils and other community networks - to comment on development proposals and council policy</p> <p>Developers - to promote specific development proposals and to assist the Council in improving the quality of the human and built environment through where appropriate, renewal and conservation.</p> <p>The community - to lend support to the Council and to monitor its performance.</p>	<p>The list relates specifically to the 'role' in consultation terms. It is a given that professional advice is 'unbiased, balanced and impartial'.</p> <p>Members represent the community and if they always accepted the officer recommendations as proposed by the respondent they would be redundant! The Council's vision is set out in other documents e.g. the Corporate Plan.</p> <p>Parish Councils and others should do more than merely comment on proposals they should be involved in shaping them by consideration of options and raising issues.</p>
Q9	Sigma Planning Services	Yes.	Noted
Q9	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	<p>Roles are generally reasonable. However, the role of the developer is identified as fulfilling the community involvement to the highest standard. This conflicts with the details set out on page 13. If the Council's intention is to require developers to promote community involvement to the highest standards, it must set out clear guidelines on how this is to be achieved and managed and allow the development industry to comment on these</p>	<p>Noted. Some developers will require more guidance than others. We may have to provide some good practice guidance in the future but national planning guidance is also applicable to the development sector.</p>

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q9	Trenport Investments Ltd.	Agree.	Noted
Q9	Radleigh Homes	There should be a recognition of the interaction of the roles of the different groups. It must be stated clearly to the community how their roles are to be undertaken. There is a concern that too much involvement could slow the process down. Expertise of developers and their professional advisors should be strongly adhered to within the promotional and development processes.	The new system seeks to ensure meaningful community involvement and a speeding up of the process. This is why consultation will be targeted to specific elements of the community depending on the type of document being prepared. The document states that we will encourage developers to undertake consultation but it should add value to the process not be instead of formal procedures.
Q9	Wood Frampton	Agree.	Noted
Q9	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	The word "consultation" should be evident in the roles described. It should be stressed that actions/discussions/comments need to be made promptly and clearly.	Consultation is the main theme of the document so it should already be explicit. The various forms associated with consultation exercises will stress the timescales for responses.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q9	Henderson Global Investors	The community involvement timetable should be updated on a regular basis and make use of best practice.	The Council must produce an Annual Monitoring Report under the new planning legislation and that will provide an indication of what methods are working best. The timetable for consulting on major documents is set out in the Local Development Scheme that can be viewed on the website. This has to be agreed by the Secretary of State and frequent updating should be avoided.
Q9	Harold and Betty Wainwright	Appropriate and satisfactory.	Noted
Q9	David Webster	Appears to cover all interests.	Noted
Q9	Monica Hudson	Very good.	Noted
Q9	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	Must ensure that a minority group does not achieve a result that is not in the majority interest.	Government requires us to have consulted and taken into account the views of all sections of the community. We have to demonstrate that we have taken steps to include minorities in the process and we have to be transparent about how and why decisions are taken.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q9	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Consultants often poor value for money. Involve more of the local community to get their "buy in". Don't put too much emphasis on developers as they want to maximise profit and will only meet the community needs they have	The term 'consultants' when used here refers to those specialists in community involvement/facilitators (not planning consultants) who have expertise and are independent.
Q9	South Derbyshire LSP	Agree with roles. How will you ensure developers have necessary skills , commitment etc. to process of community involvement.	By monitoring their effectiveness through feedback from the community. Large developers have experience of public involvement.
Q9	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	Employ officers with the necessary skills for PR purposes (newsletters, press releases etc.) and for facilitating workshops/meetings.	Noted. The Council has a Public Relations Officer and other professional officers are sent on training courses and learn from assisting external facilitators.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q10	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	As soon as there is sufficient data/feedback from these questionnaires and any other awareness exercises carried out which might indicate where changes need to be made. 2 years may be too long!	Noted. The Annual Monitoring Report should identify any need to review the SCI. Any change to the document has to undergo the same process as formulating the original and the programme for consulting has to be set out in the Local Development Scheme which itself has to be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval.
Q10	Barrow upon Trent Parish Council	Every 3 years.	Noted
Q10	Melbourne Parish Council	Rolling annual review, further limited consultation with interested parties when necessary, followed by comprehensive 5 yr review to audit progress and determine strategy for the next 5 yrs.	The Annual Monitoring Report should identify any need to review the SCI. Any change to the document has to undergo the same process as formulating the original and the programme for consulting has to be set out in the Local Development Scheme which itself has to be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q10	Repton Parish Council	Comments by parish councils should be given more consideration by planners. Often problems can be seen locally that are not apparent at the planning office.	Agree that Parish Councils have local knowledge. If a particular issue is raised such as concern about traffic then the Council ensures that the County Highway Authority is made aware and advises accordingly. All material planning considerations are weighed up in determining applications.
Q10	Weston on Trent Parish Council	Annually.	The Annual Monitoring Report should identify any need to review the SCI. Any change to the document has to undergo the same process as formulating the original and the programme for consulting has to be set out in the Local Development Scheme which itself has to be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval. Annually will be too short a time.
Q10	ODPM c/o Government Office for the East Midlands	Need to programme the SCI review into the next local development scheme, as it is rolled forward.	Noted
Q10	The National Trust	Around 3 years would probably be appropriate, although sooner if there were specific concerns about how the process was working, changes to legislation etc.	Noted and agree.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q10	Campaign to Protect Rural England	5 years.	Noted
Q10	Melbourne Civic Society	Two years perhaps. Community involvement should be appropriate to the scale of the development and/or the importance of any policy initiative.	Noted
Q10	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Every 2-3 years through workshops. East Midlands Planning Aid Service would be useful if available when needed, such a service is likely to be seen as a device for control and would need to be transparent.	Noted
Q10	Madecorn Leisure	Given the time consuming and complex nature of process, a review period of not more than 5 years would be appropriate. A significantly shorter period runs the risk of the public losing track of what is happening and what the whole process is about.	Noted
Q10	Sigma Planning Services	General review can take place, but principles should remain constant. Need only be reviewed if principles are demonstrated to be unrealistic or ineffective or if a change in Government policy that requires a review. Review should not be on a specific timescale.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q10	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	In the light of the statutory duty to plan, monitor and review, the Council's intention to review the SCI every two years is reasonable. The evaluation of community involvement is dependent upon the nature of a stakeholders involvement over a period of time and their own assessment of the value of their input. This is hard to quantify on a consistent basis without some form of standard pro forma or questionnaire.	Noted. Agree a standard proforma is necessary.
Q10	Trenport Investments Ltd.	Every three years. Assess whether it is "adding value" to the process, producing better and more informed decisions rather than just slowing the development	Noted and agree.
Q10	Radleigh Homes	Concurrence with Government guidance in the form of PPS12 on timeframes.	Noted
Q10	Wood Frampton	6 months.	Any change to the document has to undergo the same process as formulating the original and the programme for consulting has to be set out in the Local Development Scheme which itself has to be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval. 6 months is therefore unrealistic.
Q10	Sharpe Brothers and Co. Ltd.	As stated.	Noted

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q10	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	Every 3 years? Evaluation should be based on empirical evidence.	Noted and agree.
Q10	Henderson Global Investors	The SCI should clearly define parameters for assessment making a realistic judgment of preserving environmental factors whilst enabling beneficial development.	The SCI deals with how and when we consult. Preserving the environment and beneficial development are topic areas for discussion via consultation on other specific local development documents.
Q10	Harold and Betty Wainwright	Favours 2 yearly reviews. Agree with suggested evaluation arrangements.	Noted
Q10	David Webster	Every Two years. Community involvement can only be evaluated by experience of what works and what doesn't.	Noted and agree.
Q10	Monica Hudson	Two years. Evaluate by looking at the numbers of respondents and the usefulness of comments.	Noted and agree.
Q10	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	Document should be reviewed every two years. Community involvement should be assessed for effectiveness by market research (customer survey).	Noted and agree.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q10	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Should be reviewed annually along with the LDF. Easy method of feedback should be used so that all sectors of the community can give their responses. Standard documents will be easier for SDDC to evaluate and use.	The Annual Monitoring Report should identify any need to review the SCI. Any change to the document has to undergo the same process as formulating the original and the programme for consulting has to be set out in the Local Development Scheme which itself has to be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval.
Q10	South Derbyshire LSP	When there are sufficient changes that have been identified. Community involvement could be evaluated by random one-to-one interviews of those that have been involved in the process - qualitative info. Quantitative - numbers of responses to opportunities for involvement in target setting. Link to LSP again.	Noted and agree.
Q10	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	6 monthly or annual reviews of progress with a two yearly update (if necessary) would be appropriate. Evaluation forms a good option, together with numbers of people involved at each stage, numbers of related complaints	The Annual Monitoring Report should identify any need to review the SCI. Any change to the document has to undergo the same process as formulating the original and the programme for consulting has to be set out in the Local Development Scheme which itself has to be submitted to the Secretary of State for approval. 6 months is therefore unrealistic.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q11	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	Not at this time.	Noted
Q11	Melbourne Parish Council	No.	Noted
Q11	Weston on Trent Parish Council	No.	Noted
Q11	The National Trust	References could usefully be made to the information available on the Planning Portal website.	add web address to list.
Q11	Campaign to Protect Rural England	No.	Noted
Q11	Melbourne Civic Society	No	Noted
Q11	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Village (parish) Plans and Village Design Statements.	The documents referred to are more appropriate to the other local development documents that have still to be prepared.
Q11	Madecorn Leisure	No.	Noted
Q11	Taylor Woodrow Developments, David Wilson Homes and Miller Homes	It is appropriate to refer to the GOEM and County Council websites, in particular toward the RSS and Structure	Add web addresses.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q11	Radleigh Homes	Links to the regional websites e.g. East Midlands Regional Assembly and an explanation of how the LDFs and the RSS8 are interlinked.	The explanation is provided in the Local Development Scheme that can be viewed on the Council's website. Establish web address and include in list.
Q11	Wood Frampton	Support the entirety of the SCI document.	Noted
Q11	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	A brief description of the planning application system.	This can be found in other documents on the Council's website. Most people have heard of the term 'planning permission' but what is needed is a greater awareness of the system that establishes the policies against which planning applications are assessed. The new system is complicated by introducing a long list of new style documents. Investigate whether the Government has prepared a man in the street guide, obtain copies and distribute.
Q11	Mrs Hilary Coyle	A "Noddy" guide to planning would be useful for all those who have not previously commented on the planning process.	Noted. Investigate whether the Government has produced a booklet, if so order copies and distribute.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
Q11	South Derbyshire LSP	Could link to Community Strategy but may confuse.	The community strategy web address is already given in the document on page 5.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
General	Derbyshire County Council Social Services	As a 'lay person', found this booklet very corporate, repetitive at times and difficult to follow in some areas. Don't feel that people will take the time to look or read this document unless they have some sort of active interest or are part of some community group. Leaflets or flyers may be a better way of promoting information to the general community.	We are required by legislation to produce such a document. Explaining how it fits in with the other new documents we must prepare as well as explaining its specific purpose has been difficult. We felt the repetition was necessary in order to get the message across but we will simplify it where we can.
General	Melbourne Parish Council	It would be rewarding to see whether community feedback has been translated into planning policy over previous years. If this does not happen further participation will be nil.	Lack of feedback in the past is acknowledged. The new system seeks to rectify this in future.
General	Overseal Parish Council	In general, the document is clear and concise and, subject to the detailed comments, the Parish Council	Noted.
General	Weston on Trent Parish Council	The amount of time given for local councils and neighbours must be realistic.	Agreed but we are legally restricted sometimes by legislation e.g. maximum of 6 weeks for consultation exercises relating to planning documents.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
General	ODPM c/o Government Office for the East Midlands	<p>In Appendix 2 add reference to need for consultation statement and sustainability appraisal report to accompany local development documents when made available for public participation under Regulations 17 and 28 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.</p> <p>Also add reference to need for a further six week consultation period on site allocation representations, in accordance with Regulation 32, as this may be relevant for the Woodville/Swadlincote Area Action Plan.</p> <p>Distinguish between the different preparation processes for development plan documents and supplementary planning documents, particularly as the table on page 11 distinguishes between the different types of documents. If Appendix 2 is altered then would be desirable to include the length of the consultation period for supplementary planning documents.</p> <p>Would be helpful to add short reference to availability of documents after adoption and how people will be informed when the authority has adopted a document.</p>	Add simple wording to reflect the need to comply with the above.
General	Highways Agency	Pleased that Highways Agency is listed in Appendix 3. The document appears to cover all required areas.	Noted
General	Environment Agency	With regard to pre-application consultations developers should be encouraged to discuss environmental issues with the Agency.	They already are.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
General	British Waterways	As an 'Other Consultee' within PPS12, BW would wish to be included within the 'Long List of Consultees' p.22-24.	Noted. So that such lists can be updated when necessary a long list will be published separately from the SCI itself.
General	Nottingham East Midlands Airport	Emerging Local Development Frameworks should pay due regard to Aerodrome Safeguarding. Attention is drawn to:  ODPM circular 1/2003 - Aerodrome Safeguarding ICAO Annex 14 Volume 1 - Provision of Bird Strike	The Council is aware of these documents and they will be taken into account at the appropriate time when preparing the relevant Local Development Document.
General	National Forest Company	The National Forest Company should be listed in Appendix 3 as an "Additional Authority" to be consulted.	We will produce a long list separately from the SCI document itself in order that such a list can be updated when details change.
General	Derbyshire Mental Health Services NHS Trust	How will contact details be kept up to date?	Details can only be updated if respondents inform us of changes so that we can amend our database.
General	Campaign to Protect Rural England	Although understandable, this document does not seem to flow smoothly through the various sections and there are too many occasions when one had to turn to an appendix to understand the point. However, some of the diagrams are very good, particularly that on Page 1.	Noted. Consider putting some of the information contained in appendices into the text of the main body of the document.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
General	Home Builders Federation	Council refers to SPD but is unclear as to their extent or nature. On matters such as affordable housing, planning obligations and developer contributions, such an approach will not be permissible if matters of new policy are introduced. Any matters of importance to development costs must be set out in a DPD and all SPD including development site briefs will first have to be identified in the LDS. HBF hopes that summaries of all representations received and the Council's response to them will be included in the final adopted versions of any SPD documents.	The Council is aware of the regulations determining the adoption of such documents.
General	Home Builders Federation	Council will need to ensure that the SCI is in full compliance with the Planning Inspectorate document 'A framework for assessing soundness and focusing representations on SCIs' and also 'Creating LDFs - A companion guide to PPS12'. The SCI also needs to be in accordance with 'Community Involvement in Planning: The Government's objectives' (ODPM, 2004).	The Council is aware that the document must comply with relevant guidance and
General	Melbourne Civic Society	No	Noted
General	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Groups and individuals need to get some benefit from responding to issues and some acknowledgement that their voice has been heard and their views have contributed to decisions made. See Q1.	Agree. The purpose of the SCI is to investigate and establish meaningful ways of achieving

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
General	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Appendix 5 Neighbourhood notification, p26 para. 2. Neighbours living more than 4 metres from an application could be adversely affected by most of the 10 points listed particularly by overbearing effects, visual intrusion. What does "discrepancy with the Council's adopted planning policies" mean? Again on p29 last line, what does "Any road less than twenty metres width will be discounted" mean? If your aim is to involve the local community more, then the planning policies need to be	Ammend text to clarify 4m and 20m guidelines. 'discrepancy with the Council's adopted planning policies' means where the detrimental impact on a neighbour is because the the proposal does not comply with the Council's adopted policies e.g. those set out in published planning documents.
General	SAVE Aston Village Environment	Don't like the sound of resourcing (p14/p15) costs nearly always overrun - call for extra Council Tax.	We will use the most cost effective methods available but if additional resources are needed the Council may have to prioritise its budget accordingly. Co-ordinating consultation activities may help reduce costs.
General	SAVE Aston Village Environment	The time scale for responding to a planning application is 3 weeks. Parish Councils meet monthly (4 weeks and 5 weeks) interested parties go on holiday for 2 weeks, 3 weeks is not long enough to respond. 6 weeks is realistic.	Sympathise but given that the majority of planning applications should be dealt with within 8 weeks a longer consultation period would not allow for sufficient consideration of issues raised, report writing etc.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
General	Derbyshire Association for the Blind Ltd.	<p>Information about other formats should be at the front of the document rather than the back. Visually impaired people need the same information as everybody else and each individual needs to be able to select the most appropriate format such as large print, Braille or Moon, audio tape, accessible website, e.mail or disk. The document, including the questionnaire, should be available in audio format. Can answers to the questionnaire be taken by telephone?</p> <p>Sight impaired people are likely to need transport to and from public meetings.</p> <p>The yellow and gold headings on glossy paper are difficult to read, even with "normal" eyesight. Orange headings are better but still unsatisfactory. Misspelling of "it" on page 9 and extra "p" on the end of line 2, page 10.</p>	Put note on front of document. Responses can be taken by telephone.
General	The Theatres Trust	<p>Appreciate that SCIs should be concise and that a long list of names would be overly prescriptive. Nevertheless, SCI should explain about the 'free-standing' register and how the Council intends to keep it up to date, and that The Theatres Trust is included. Other SCIs generally contain a broad list of consultees which includes bodies such as ourselves and appropriate amenity societies. Otherwise they attach an extract of their free standing register. As the Theatres Trust is a statutory consultee on certain planning applications we would expect to be included in Appendix 3. If not, please confirm we are included in your free-standing register.</p>	Confirm the respondent is on the database. We will publish a long list of groups and organisations on the database separately from the SCI itself so that it can be updated as contact details change etc.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
General	Land and Development Consultants Ltd	Council's approach and explanation of an involved process is accessible, understandable and readable.	Noted.
General	Derby Self-Build	Quality of consultation as important as having an appropriate process for consultation. This will require information about technical planning issues that can be understood by non-planners; the use of Plain English; clarity about areas that can be influenced by the consultation process; certainty that oral and written contributions will be properly recorded and responded to.	Agree. The new system has become more confusing due to the introduction of new terminology. We will produce summaries in basic terms to accompany the formal
General	Wood Frampton	No.	Noted
General	Hanson Quarry Products	Support the draft SCI.	Noted
General	Sharpe Brothers and Co. Ltd.	Very comprehensive.	Noted
General	Roger Bullivant Ltd.	It is inevitable that the document will be wordy, however by trying to stay away from long words, by using short sentences and by producing plain English summaries it is hoped that SCI would be a useful step forward.	Noted and agree.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
General	Mr R A Davoll	Queries the stated road width of 20 metres as this seems very wide.	20m includes a safety margin to ensure that most people on the other side of a road would normally be consulted. A shorter distance would mean fewer are consulted.
General	Mr and Mrs RJ and SA Hull	It is a good draft. The use of QA methodology might be of use in streamlining and simplifying the process. Use of ISO 9000 2001 should be considered.	Noted
General	Mrs Hilary Coyle	Easy to read. Would like to see more involvement with Parish Councils.	Noted.
General	South Derbyshire LSP	Several comments from people saying how unwieldy the document is. The idea behind it is fine but it lacks clarity. If the document describing the process is not clear, it may be that the process cannot be put into practice effectively. Acknowledge constraints but there must be some changes that can be made.	We will seek to simplify the document if we can.
General	Home Builders Federation	Draft SCI does not contain any specific references to particular types of evidence gathering that the Council will be undertaking in order to provide a sound basis for new planning documents. HBF believe there should be mention made to Housing Market Assessments, The Council will need to instigate this work (probably in association with some neighbouring LAs and the Regional Assembly), and will need to directly involve the	Studies and evidence that underpin the new documents to be produced under the new system are referred to in the Local Development Scheme that can be viewed on the website. The respondent appears to have confused the SCI with the LDS.

<b>Question Ref</b>	<b>Name/Organisation</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Response/Recommendation</b>
General	Safer South Derbyshire Partnership	Very clear and well laid out. Good use of different tables, charts and pictures.	Noted